

Niyazi A. ILYASOV

**ESTIMATIONS OF THE SMOOTHNESS MODULES OF CONVOLUTION OF TWO PERIODIC FUNCTIONS BY MEANS OF THEIR BEST APPROXIMATIONS IN  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$  (THE CASE OF DIFFERENT METRICS)**

**Abstract**

*In the paper the upper estimations of smoothness modules  $\omega_k(h^{(s)}; \delta)_\gamma$  of derivative  $h^{(s)}$  of order  $s(h^{(0)} \equiv h)$  of the convolution  $h = f * g$  of two  $2\pi$  periodic functions  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$  are obtained by means of expression containing the product  $E_{n-1}(f)_p E_{n-1}(g)_q$  of the best approximations of these functions in the metrics of  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $L_q(\mathbb{T})$  respectively, where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in (r, \infty]$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]$ . It is proved in the case  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  that the obtained estimations are exact in the sense of order on classes of convolutions with given majorants of sequences of the best approximations of  $f$  and  $g$  under some regularity of these majorants.*

In what follows we use the following notation.

- $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , is the space of all measurable  $2\pi$  periodic functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with finite  $L_p$ -norm  $\|f\|_p = \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty$ .
- $C(\mathbb{T}) \equiv L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$  is the space of all continuous  $2\pi$  periodic functions with uniform norm  $\|f\|_\infty \equiv \max \{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{T}\}$ .
- $W_p^s(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , is the class of functions  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  having an absolutely continuous derivative of order  $s - 1$  and  $f^{(s)} \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ .
- $C^s(\mathbb{T}) \equiv W_\infty^s(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the class of functions  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$  having an ordinary derivative  $f^{(s)} \in C(\mathbb{T})$ .
- $E_n(f)_p$  is the best approximation of a function  $f$  in the metric of  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$  by the trigonometric polynomials of order  $\leq n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- $S_n(f; \cdot)$  is the partial sum of order  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  of the Fourier-Lebesgue series of a function  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}) : S_n(f; x) = \sum_{|\nu|=0}^n c_\nu(f) e^{i\nu x}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .
- $\omega_k(f; \delta)_p$  is the smoothness module of order  $k$  of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  :  

$$\omega_k(f; \delta)_p = \sup \left\{ \|\Delta_t^k f\|_p : t \in \mathbb{R}, |t| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in [0, \infty),$$
 where  $\Delta_t^k f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k (-1)^{k-\nu} \binom{k}{\nu} f(x + \nu t)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $M_0$  is the class of all sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < \lambda_n \downarrow 0$  ( $n \uparrow \infty$ ).
- $E_p[\lambda] = \{f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}) : E_{n-1}(f)_p \leq \lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\lambda \in M_0$ .

[N.A.Ilyasov]

The convolution  $h = f * g$  of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  is defined by the formula:  $h(x) = (f * g)(x) = (1/2\pi) \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x-y)g(y)dy$ ; it is known (see f.e. [1], v.1, § 2.1, pp.64-65, [2], v.1, § 3.1, pp.65-66) that the function  $h$  is defined almost everywhere,  $2\pi$  periodic, measurable and  $\|h\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$  (whence it follows in particular that  $h = f * g \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ ). The last statement is a particular case of the following result known as the W.Young's inequality (see, f.e. [1], v.1, Theorem (1.15), pp.67-68; [2], v.2, Theorem 13.6.1, pp.176-177; [2], v.1, Theorem 3.1.4, p.70, Theorem 3.1.6, p.72). Given  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , let  $p' = p/(p-1)$  be the exponent conjugate to  $p$ . As usual, we assume that  $p' = 1$  for  $p = \infty$  and  $p' = \infty$  for  $p = 1$ . If  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 \geq 0$ , then  $r = pq/(p+q-pq)$  and  $r \in [1, \infty)$  for  $1/r > 0$  and  $r = \infty$  for  $1/r = 0$  (in this case  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , so that  $q = p'$ ).

**Theorem A.** Let  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $h = f * g$ ,  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 \geq 0$ . Then

- If  $1/r > 0$  then  $h$  belongs to  $L_r(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\|h\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ .
- If  $1/r = 0$  then  $h$  belongs to  $C(\mathbb{T}) \equiv L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\|h\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_{p'}$ .

Recall that the Fourier coefficients  $c_n(h)$  of  $h = f * g$  of two arbitrary functions  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  are calculated by the formula (see [1], v.1, Theorem (1.5), p.64; [2], v.1, p.66, formula (3.1.5))  $c_n(h) = c_n(f * g) = c_n(f) \cdot c_n(g)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We use also the following obvious inequalities (see f.e. [3], Lemma 1, pp. 18-19): let  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f = Re f + i Im f$ ; then

$$(i) \max\{E_n(Re f)_p, E_n(Im f)_p\} \leq E_n(f)_p \leq$$

$$\leq E_n(Re f)_p + E_n(Im f)_p \leq 2E_n(f)_p, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

$$(ii) \max\{\omega_k(Re f; \delta)_p, \omega_k(Im f; \delta)_p\} \leq \omega_k(f; \delta)_p \leq$$

$$\leq \omega_k(Re f; \delta)_p + \omega_k(Im f; \delta)_p \leq 2\omega_k(f; \delta)_p, \quad \delta \in [0, \infty).$$

The following statement be so called the inverse theorem of the approximation theory of  $2\pi$  periodic functions in different metrics of  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Theorem B.** Let  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\tau = \tau(q) = q$  for  $q < \infty$  and  $\tau(\infty) = 1$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/p - 1/q$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{n-1}^\tau(f)_p < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Then  $f \in W_q^s(\mathbb{T})$  (more precisely,  $f$  almost everywhere equal to some function from  $W_q^s(\mathbb{T})$  for  $q < \infty$  and  $C^s(\mathbb{T})$  for  $q = \infty$ ) and the following estimation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(f^{(s)}; \pi/n)_q &\leq C_1(k, s, p, q) \left\{ \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{\nu-1}^\tau(f)_p \right)^{1/\tau} + \right. \\ &\left. + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} E_{\nu-1}^\tau(f)_p \right)^{1/\tau} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $C_1(k, s, p, q)$  is a positive constant depending only on parameters  $k, s, p$  and  $q$ .

Theorem B was proved by A.A.Konyushkov [4], Theorem 2, pp.56-57, in the case  $s = 0, q = \infty$ , and by A.F.Timan [5], Theorem 6.4.1, p.378, in the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, q = \infty$  (more precisely, in these cited works was given weak version of formulated theorem with exponent  $\tau = \tau(q) = 1 < q$  for all  $q \in (1, \infty)$ ).

The implication  $(1) \implies f \in W_q^s(\mathbb{T})$  was proved by P.L.Ul'yanov [6], Theorem 4, p.121, inequality (4.2), for  $s = 0, q < \infty$  (see also [7], Remark 6, pp. 671-672, inequalities (3.6')); [8], Theorem 4, p.1045, inequality (8); [9], pp. 1251-1253; [10], Theorem A, pp. 62-65) and by M.F.Timan [10], Theorem 8, p.73, for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, q < \infty$ .

The inequality (2) was proved by the author [11], Proposition 2.7, pp.27-41, in the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $1 \leq p < q \leq 2, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $p = 1, 2 < q < \infty, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $1 \leq p < q = \infty$ ; [12], Proposition 1, (2), p.49-50 (see also [13], Proposition 1, (3), pp. 4-5) in the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, q \leq 2$ ; [14], Theorem 1, pp. 57-61 (see also [15], Proposition 1, pp. 3-9) in the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 2 < q < \infty$ .

We note also that in the case  $s = 0, 1 < p < q < \infty$  the inequality (2) was formulated without proof by M.B.Sikhov [16], Theorem 1, p. 46, inequality (2).

The estimation (2) is exact in the sense of order on the class  $E_p[\lambda]$  for all values  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \omega_k \left( f^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_q : f \in E_p[\lambda] \right\} \asymp \\ & \asymp \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\tau} \right)^{1/\tau} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\tau} \right)^{1/\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

under condition that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_n^{\tau} < \infty \iff E_p[\lambda] \subset W_q^s(\mathbb{T})$ . The sufficiency of denote condition follows from implication  $(1) \implies f \in W_q^s(\mathbb{T})$  (see Theorem B). The necessity in the case  $s = 0$  was proved by N.T.Temirqaliev [17], Theorem 2, pp. 840-841, for  $p = 1, q < \infty$ , V.I.Kolyada [18], Theorems 3 and 4, pp. 212-215, for  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ , M.F.Timan [19], Theorem 1, pp. 76-79, for  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  (see also [9], p.1253; [10], Theorem 6, pp. 70-72), author [11], p.135, Theorem 3, point (3.1), in the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ , [12], Remark after theorem on the page 49 (see also [13], point (1) of theorem on the page 3), the case  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ , [14], Theorem 2, p.61 (see also [15], the point (1) of theorem on the page 3), the case  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 2 < q < \infty$ .

The upper estimation in (3) immediately follows from inequality (2). The lower estimation in (3) is realized by means of individual functions in  $E_p[\lambda]$ ; more precisely, for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and for arbitrary  $\lambda \in M_0$  there exists a function  $f_0(\cdot; p; \lambda) \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  with  $E_{n-1}(f_0) \leq \lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (i) \ f_0 \in W_q^s(\mathbb{T}) \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_n^{\tau} < \infty; \\ & (ii) \ \text{if the series in (i) converge, then } \omega_k \left( f_0^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_q \geq \\ & \geq C_2(k, s, p, q) \left\{ \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\tau} \right)^{1/\tau} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\tau} \right)^{1/\tau} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement (i) and estimation (ii) was proved by the author [11], Lemma 3.13, p.98, for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ , Lemma 3.14, p.101, for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $q > 2$ ; [12]; Lemma 2, pp. 54-56 (see also [13], Lemma 3, pp.7-9), for

[N.A.Ilyasov]

$s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ ; [14], Lemma 3, pp.62-63 (see also [15], Lemma 1, pp. 12-14), for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $q > 2$ ; [20], Lemma 5, pp.57-60, for  $1 \leq p < q = \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in (r, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/r - 1/\gamma$ ,  $\tau = \tau(\gamma) = \gamma$  for  $\gamma < \infty$  and  $\tau(\infty) = 1$ ,  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $g \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $h = f * g$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{n-1}^{\tau}(f)_p E_{n-1}^{\tau}(g)_q < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Then  $h \in W_{\gamma}^s(\mathbb{T})$  and the following estimation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} &\leq C_3(k, s, r, \gamma) \left\{ \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{\nu-1}^{\tau}(f)_p E_{\nu-1}^{\tau}(g)_q \right)^{1/\tau} + \right. \\ &\left. + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} E_{\nu-1}^{\tau}(f)_p E_{\nu-1}^{\tau}(g)_q \right)^{1/\tau} \right\}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** Since  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$  we have that  $h \in L_r(\mathbb{T})$  for  $1/r > 0$  ( $\implies r \in [1, \infty)$ ) by Theorem A. We need the following estimation (see [21], the inequality (2) in the proof of Theorem 1, p.41)

$$E_{n-1}(f * g)_r \leq E_{n-1}(f)_p \cdot E_{n-1}(g)_q, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \in [1, \infty]. \quad (6)$$

Taking into account (4) and by inequality (6) we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{n-1}^{\tau}(h)_r \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{n-1}^{\tau}(f)_p E_{n-1}^{\tau}(g)_q < \infty,$$

whence it follows that (1) hold for  $h$ . Therefore  $h \in W_{\gamma}^s(\mathbb{T})$  by Theorem B and applying the inequalities (2) for  $h$  and (6), we obtain (5). Theorem 1 is proved.

For further exposition we need preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\psi \in W_{\gamma}^s(\mathbb{T})$  and have the Fourier series  $\psi(x) \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(\psi) e^{inx}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then

- (i)  $n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma k + \gamma - 2} |c_{\nu}(\psi)|^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_4(k, \gamma) \omega_k(\psi; \pi/n)_{\gamma}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma s + \gamma - 2} |c_n(\psi)|^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_5(\gamma) \left\| \psi^{(s)} \right\|_{\gamma}$ ;
- (iii)  $\left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma s + \gamma - 2} |c_{\nu}(\psi)|^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_6(k, \gamma) \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma 1 was proved in [3], Lemma 2 (point (i)) and in [22], Lemma 1 (points (ii) and (iii)).

**Lemma 2.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\psi \in C^s(\mathbb{T})$  and have the Fourier series  $\psi(x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\psi) e^{inx}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , with  $c_n(\psi) > 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

- (i)  $n^{-\varkappa} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\varkappa} c_{\nu}(\psi) \leq 2^{-k} \omega_k(\operatorname{Re} \psi; \pi/n)_{\infty}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

where  $\varkappa = k + (1 - (-1)^k)/2 = \{k \text{ for even } k; k + 1 \text{ for odd } k\}$ .

- (ii)  $n^{-\varkappa} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\varkappa} c_{\nu}(\psi) \leq 2^{-(k+1)} \pi \omega_k(\operatorname{Im} \psi; \pi/n)_{\infty}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

where  $\varkappa = k + (1 + (-1)^k)/2 = \{k + 1 \text{ for even } k; k \text{ for odd } k\}$ .

$$(iii) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^s c_n(\psi) \leq \begin{cases} \left\| \operatorname{Re} \psi^{(s)} \right\|_{\infty} & \text{for } s = 0, 2, 4, \dots; \\ \left\| \operatorname{Im} \psi^{(s)} \right\|_{\infty} & \text{for } s = 1, 3, \dots. \end{cases}$$

$$(iv) \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^s c_{\nu}(\psi) \leq 2^{k+2} C_7(k) \begin{cases} \omega_k \left( \operatorname{Re} \psi^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_{\infty} & \text{for } s = 0, 2, 4, \dots; \\ \omega_k \left( \operatorname{Im} \psi^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_{\infty} & \text{for } s = 1, 3, \dots. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 was proved in [3], Lemma 4 (points (i) and (ii)) and in [22], Lemma 3 (points (iii) and (iv)).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\gamma \in (1, \infty), \psi \in L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{T})$  and have the Fourier series  $\psi(x) \sim (1/2)a_0(\psi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n(\psi) \cos nx + b_n(\psi) \sin nx), x \in \mathbb{T}$ , where  $a_0(\psi) \geq 0, a_n(\psi) \geq 0, b_n(\psi) \geq 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$(i) \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} (a_{\nu}(\psi) + b_{\nu}(\psi)) \leq C_8(\gamma) n^{1/\gamma} E_n(\psi)_{\gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

Furthermore, if  $a_n(\psi) \downarrow, b_n(\psi) \downarrow$  for  $n \uparrow$ , then

$$(ii) (a_{2n}(\psi) + b_{2n}(\psi)) n^{1-1/\gamma} \leq C_8(\gamma) E_n(\psi)_{\gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$(iii) \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma-2} (a_{\nu}(\psi) + b_{\nu}(\psi))^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_9(\gamma) E_{[(n+1)/2]}(\psi)_{\gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 3 was proved by A.A.Konyushkov [23], Theorem 5, inequalities (17) and (19), p.73; Theorem 6, inequality (20), p.74. In the inequality (iii) for  $2 < \gamma < \infty$ , in general, dos not exchange  $E_{[(n+1)/2]}(\psi)_{\gamma}$  by means  $E_n(\psi)_{\gamma}$  (see [23], p.75); in the case  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$  it is possible without denote assumption  $a_n(\psi) \downarrow, b_n(\psi) \downarrow (n \uparrow)$  (see the proof (iii) of Lemma 1 for  $s = 0$ ).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\gamma \in (1, \infty), l, k \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \psi \in W_{\gamma}^s(\mathbb{T}), \eta = \max \{2, \gamma\}$ . Then ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )*

$$(i) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta(k+s)-1} \omega_l^{\eta}(\psi; \pi/\nu)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{10}(l, k + s, \gamma) \pi^s \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} \quad (s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l > k + s);$$

$$(ii) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\eta s-1} \omega_l^{\eta}(\psi; \pi/n)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{11}(l, s, \gamma) \left\| \psi^{(s)} \right\|_{\gamma} \quad (s \in \mathbb{N}, l > s);$$

$$(iii) \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\eta s-1} \omega_l^{\eta}(\psi; \pi/\nu)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{12}(l, k, s, \gamma) \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} \quad (s \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq k + s).$$

**Proof.** We need the following known inequalities ( $\theta = \min \{2, \gamma\}, \psi \in L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{T})$ )

$$\omega_l(\psi; \pi/n)_{\gamma} \leq C_{13}(l, \gamma) n^{-l} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\theta l-1} E_{\nu-1}^{\theta}(\psi)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\theta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)$$

$$n^{-l} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta l-1} E_{\nu-1}^{\eta}(\psi)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{14}(l, \gamma) \omega_l(\psi; \pi/n)_{\gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

The inequality (7) was proved by S.B.Stechkin [24], p. 502, Lemma 1, for  $l = 1, \gamma = 2$ , and by M.F.Timan [25], Theorem 1, p. 126, inequalities (7), for  $l \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in (1, \infty)$  (see also [5], §6.1.5; [26], §7.3, Theorem 3.4, p. 210, inequality (3.9)). The inequality (8) was proved by M.F. Timan [27], pp. 135-137.

[N.A.Ilyasov]

First we proof the estimation ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m < l$ )

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta m-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \leq C_{15}(l, m, \gamma) \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta m-1} E_{\nu-1}^\eta(\psi)_\gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9)$$

In virtue of inequality (7) we have that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta m-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \leq (C_{13}(l, \gamma))^\eta \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{-\eta(l-m)-1} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu^{\theta l-1} E_{\mu-1}^\theta(\psi)_\gamma \right)^{\eta/\theta},$$

whence in the case  $\gamma \neq 2$  by Hardy's inequality [28], p. 308, Theorem 346, we obtain that ( $\eta/\theta > 1$ ,  $\eta(l-m) + 1 > 1$ )

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta m-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \leq (C_{13}(l, \gamma))^\eta C_{16}(l, m, \theta, \eta) \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta m-1} E_{\nu-1}^\eta(\psi)_\gamma,$$

and in the case  $\gamma = 2$  ( $\Rightarrow \eta = \theta = 2$ ) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{13}(l, 2))^{-2} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2m-1} \omega_l^2(\psi; \pi/\nu)_2 &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{-2(l-m)-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu^{2l-1} E_{\mu-1}^2(\psi)_2 = \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n \mu^{2l-1} E_{\mu-1}^2(\psi)_2 \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n \nu^{-2(l-m)-1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(l-m)}\right) \sum_{\mu=1}^n \mu^{2m-1} E_{\mu-1}^2(\psi)_2. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $m = k + s < l$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , in (9), then by (8) and known inequality  $\omega_{k+s}(\psi; \delta)_\gamma \leq 2\delta^s \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \delta)_\gamma$  for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain that ( $C_{15} = C_{15}(l, k + s, \gamma)$ ,  $C_{14} = C_{14}(k + s, \gamma)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta(k+s)-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \right)^{1/\eta} &\leq C_{15}^{1/\eta} n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta(k+s)-1} E_{\nu-1}^\eta(\psi)_\gamma \right)^{1/\eta} \leq \\ &\leq C_{15}^{1/\eta} C_{14} n^s \omega_{k+s}(\psi; \pi/n)_\gamma \leq C_{15}^{1/\eta} \cdot C_{14} \cdot 2\pi^s \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows the estimation (i) with  $C_{10}(l, k + s, \gamma) = 2\pi^s C_{15}^{1/\eta} C_{14}$ .

Furthermore, putting  $m = s < l$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , in (9), by inequality (8) and known inequality  $\omega_s(\psi; \delta)_\gamma \leq 2\delta^s \|\psi^{(s)}\|_\gamma$ ,  $\psi \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta s-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \right)^{1/\eta} &\leq (C_{15}(l, s, \gamma))^{1/\eta} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\eta s-1} E_{\nu-1}^\eta(\psi)_\gamma \right)^{1/\eta} \leq \\ &\leq (C_{15}(l, s, \gamma))^{1/\eta} C_{14}(s, \gamma) n^s \omega_s(\psi; \pi/n)_\gamma \leq C_{15}^{1/\eta} \cdot C_{14} \cdot 2\pi^s \|\psi^{(s)}\|_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows the estimation (ii) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We note that in virtue of (9) for  $m = s \in \mathbb{N}$  the inequality (ii) follows also from the lower estimations of  $L_\gamma$ -norm  $\|\psi^{(s)}\|_\gamma$  by means of expression containing

$E_n(\psi)_\gamma$ , which was obtained by O.V.Besov in [29], p. 15, inequalities (5) and (7) (see also [30], p. 224).

For proof the estimation (iii) we use the following inequalities ( $\theta = \min\{2, \gamma\}$ ,  $\psi \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $l > s$ )

$$\omega_l(\psi; \pi/n)_\gamma \leq C_{17}(l, \gamma) \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-\theta l-1} \left\| S_\nu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\theta \right)^{1/\theta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (10)$$

$$\left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-(l-s)\eta-1} \left\| S_\nu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\eta \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{18}(l-s, \gamma) \omega_{l-s}(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (11)$$

The inequality (10) was proved by V.V.Zhuk and Q.I. Natanson [31], see the proof of Theorem 2, inequality (6) on the p. 22. The inequality (11) was proved in [32], Theorem 2, inequality (22) on the p.9 (see also [33], inequality (17) on the p.8).

First we proof the estimation ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m < l$ )

$$\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\eta m-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \leq C_{19}(l, m, \gamma) \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-(l-m)\eta-1} \left\| S_\nu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\eta, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, in the case  $\gamma \neq 2$  by inequality (10) and by Hardy's inequality [28], Theorem 346, p. 308, we have that ( $\eta/\theta > 1$ ,  $1 - \eta m < 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\eta m-1} \omega_l^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \leq \\ & \leq (C_{17}(l, \gamma))^\eta \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\eta m-1} \left( \sum_{\mu=\nu+1}^{\infty} \mu^{-\theta l-1} \left\| S_\mu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\theta \right)^{\eta/\theta} \leq \\ & \leq (C_{17}(l, \gamma))^\eta C_{20}(m, \theta, \eta) \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-\eta(l-m)-1} \left\| S_\nu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\eta; \end{aligned}$$

in the case  $\gamma = 2$  ( $\Rightarrow \eta = \theta = 2$ ) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_{17}(l, 2))^{-2} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{2m-1} \omega_l^2(\psi; \pi/\nu)_2 \leq \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{2m-1} \sum_{\mu=\nu+1}^{\infty} \mu^{-2l-1} \left\| S_\mu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_2^2 = \\ & = \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \mu^{-2l-1} \left\| S_\mu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_2^2 \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\mu} \nu^{2m-1} \leq \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \mu^{-2(l-m)-1} \left\| S_\mu^{(l)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, putting  $m = s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l = k + s$ , in (12) and applying the inequality (11), we obtain (iii) in the case  $l = k + s$  ( $C_{19} = C_{19}(k + s, s, \gamma)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\eta s-1} \omega_{k+s}^\eta(\psi; \pi/\nu)_\gamma \right)^{1/\eta} \leq C_{19}^{1/\eta} \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-\eta k-1} \left\| S_\nu^{(k+s)}(\psi; \cdot) \right\|_\gamma^\eta \right)^{1/\eta} \leq \\ & \leq C_{19}^{1/\eta} C_{18}(k, \gamma) \omega_k(\psi^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

[N.A.Ilyasov]

In the case  $l > k + s$  the estimation (iii) reduce to the case  $l = k + s$  by known inequality  $\omega_l(\psi; \delta)_\gamma \leq 2 \cdot 2^{l-(k+s)} \omega_{k+s}(\psi; \delta)_\gamma$ .

Lemma 4 is proved.

Given  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $M_0(\alpha)$  be the set of all sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in M_0$  such that  $n^\alpha \lambda_n \downarrow (n \uparrow)$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 > 0$  ( $\Rightarrow r \in (1, \infty)$ ),  $\gamma \in (r, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/r - 1/\gamma$ ,  $\tau = \tau(\gamma) = \gamma$  for  $\gamma < \infty$  and  $\tau(\infty) = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in M_0(\alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in M_0(\beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ . Then there are functions  $f_0(\cdot; p; \lambda) \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g_0(\cdot; q; \varepsilon) \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$(i) E_{n-1}(f_0)_p \leq C_{21}(p, \alpha) \lambda_n, \quad E_{n-1}(g_0)_q \leq C_{21}(q, \beta) \varepsilon_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$(ii) h_0 = f_0 * g_0 \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T}) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_n^\tau \varepsilon_n^\tau < \infty;$$

(iii) if the series in (ii) converge, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^\infty \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_\nu^\tau \varepsilon_\nu^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} \lambda_\nu^\tau \varepsilon_\nu^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \leq \\ & \leq C_{22}(k, s, r, \tau) \omega_k(h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** For  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  ( $p' = p/(p-1)$ ,  $q' = q/(q-1)$ ), let

$$f_0(x; p; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1/p'} \lambda_n e^{inx}, \quad g_0(x; q; \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1/q'} \varepsilon_n e^{inx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Since  $\lambda \in M_0(\alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon \in M_0(\beta)$ , in virtue of Lemma 1 [34] we have  $f_0 \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $E_{n-1}(f_0)_p \leq C_{21}(p, \alpha) \lambda_n$  and  $g_0 \in L_q(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $E_{n-1}(g_0)_q \leq C_{21}(q, \beta) \varepsilon_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If the series in (ii) converge, then by (i) we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\tau\sigma-1} E_{n-1}^\tau(f_0)_p E_{n-1}^\tau(g_0)_q \leq (C_{21}(p, \alpha) C_{21}(q, \beta))^\tau \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_n^\tau \varepsilon_n^\tau < \infty,$$

whence  $h_0 = f_0 * g_0 \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$  by Theorem 1.

For further exposition of proof we consider by itself the cases:  $\gamma \leq 2$ ,  $2 < \gamma < \infty$  and  $\gamma = \infty$ .

First we consider the case  $\gamma \leq 2$ . If  $h_0 \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$ , then taking into account  $c_n(h_0) = c_n(f_0) \cdot c_n(g_0) = n^{-(1/p'+1/q')} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n$  and  $\gamma\sigma - 1 = \gamma s + \gamma/r - 2 = \gamma s + \gamma - 2 + \gamma(1/p + 1/q - 2) = \gamma s + \gamma - 2 - \gamma(1/p' + 1/q')$ , we have by (ii) of Lemma 1 that

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\gamma\sigma-1} \lambda_n^\gamma \varepsilon_n^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\gamma s + \gamma - 2} |c_n(h_0)|^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_{23}(\gamma) \|h_0^{(s)}\|_\gamma.$$

Further, applying the inequalities (i) and (iii) of Lemma 1 for  $h_0 \in W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^\infty \nu^{\gamma\sigma-1} \lambda_\nu^\gamma \varepsilon_\nu^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma(k+\sigma)-1} \lambda_\nu^\gamma \varepsilon_\nu^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} = \\ & = \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^\infty \nu^{\gamma s + \gamma - 2} |c_\nu(h_0)|^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma(k+s) + \gamma - 2} |c_\nu(h_0)|^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_{24}(k, \gamma) \omega_k \left( h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_\gamma + n^s C_{25}(k + s, \gamma) \omega_{k+s} (h_0; \pi/n)_\gamma \leq \\ &\leq (C_{24}(k, \gamma) + \pi^s C_{25}(k + s, \gamma)) \omega_k \left( h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n \right)_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whence the estimation (iii) follows in the case  $\gamma \leq 2$ .

Consider now the case  $2 < \gamma < \infty$ . Previously we proof the following estimation ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$n^{1/r-1/\gamma} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \leq C_{26}(l, r, \gamma) \omega_l (h_0; \pi/n)_\gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (13)$$

under condition that  $h_0 \in L_\gamma(\mathbb{T})$ .

Since  $0 < c_n(h_0) = c_n(Re h_0) = c_n(Im h_0) = n^{-(1/p'+1/q')} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \downarrow (n \uparrow)$ , then by (ii) of Lemma 3 we have that  $n^{1-1/\gamma} c_{2n}(Re h_0) \leq C_{27}(\gamma) E_n(Re h_0)_\gamma$ ,  $n^{1-1/\gamma} c_{2n}(Im h_0) \leq C_{27}(\gamma) E_n(Im h_0)_\gamma$ , whence  $n^{1-1/\gamma} c_{2n}(h_0) \leq C_{27}(\gamma) E_n(h_0)_\gamma$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Taking into account the last estimation and  $1/p' + 1/q' = 1 - 1/r$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/r-1/\gamma} \lambda_{2n} \varepsilon_{2n} &= 2^{1-1/r} n^{1-1/\gamma} (2n)^{1/r-1} \lambda_{2n} \varepsilon_{2n} = \\ &= 2^{1-1/r} n^{1-1/\gamma} (2n)^{-(1/p'+1/q')} \lambda_{2n} \varepsilon_{2n} = \\ &= 2^{1-1/r} n^{1-1/\gamma} c_{2n}(h_0) \leq 2^{1-1/r} C_{27}(\gamma) E_n(h_0)_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $n^{1/r-1/\gamma} \lambda_{2n} \varepsilon_{2n} \leq 2^{1-1/r} C_{27}(\gamma) E_n(h_0)_\gamma$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Further, in virtue of  $\lambda_n \downarrow$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow (n \uparrow)$  and by the  $L_\gamma$  - analogue of known D. Jackson-S.B.Stechkin inequality (see [35], Theorem 1, p. 226; [5], Section 5.11, p. 338, inequality (1), and references therein):

$$E_{n-1}(f)_\gamma \leq C_{28}(l) \omega_l(f; \pi/n)_\gamma, \quad \gamma \in [1, \infty], \quad f \in L_\gamma(\mathbb{T}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (14)$$

we have that for  $n \geq 2$  ( $[t]$  - entire part of  $t \in R$ )

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/r-1/\gamma} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n &\leq 3^{1/r-1/\gamma} [n/2]^{1/r-1/\gamma} \lambda_{2[n/2]} \varepsilon_{2[n/2]} \leq \\ &\leq 3^{1/r-1/\gamma} 2^{1-1/r} C_{27}(\gamma) E_{[n/2]}(h_0)_\gamma \leq C_{29}(r, \gamma) C_{28}(l) \omega_l(h_0; \pi/([n/2] + 1))_\gamma \leq \\ &\leq C_{29}(r, \gamma) C_{28}(l) \omega_l(h_0; 2\pi/n)_\gamma \leq C_{29}(r, \gamma) C_{28}(l) 2^l \omega_l(h_0; \pi/n)_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows the estimation (13) for  $n \geq 2$  with constant  $C_{26}(l, r, \gamma) = 2^l C_{28}(l) C_{29}(r, \gamma) = 2^l C_{28}(l) 3^{1/r-1/\gamma} 2^{1-1/r} C_{27}(\gamma)$ . For  $n = 1$  we have that (see f.e. [2], v. 1, p. 129, exercise (6.10))  $\lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 = c_1(h_0) \leq E_0(h_0)_1 \leq E_0(h_0)_\gamma \leq C_{28}(l) \omega_l(h_0; \pi)_\gamma$ .

Now we proof the validity of implication "  $\Rightarrow$  " in (ii) for  $2 < \gamma < \infty$ . In the case  $s = 0$  by the known G.Hardy-J.Littlewood's theorem (see f.e. [1], v. 2, p. 193, Lemma (6.6)) we have that  $(1 - 1/r = 1/p' + 1/q')$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(1/r-1/\gamma)-1} \lambda_n^\gamma \varepsilon_n^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(1/r-1)+\gamma-2} \lambda_n^\gamma \varepsilon_n^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} = \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} n^{-\gamma(1/p'+1/q')} \lambda_n^\gamma \varepsilon_n^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} c_n^\gamma(h_0) \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C_{30}(\gamma) \|h_0\|_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $s > 0$  by (ii) of Lemma 4 (we put  $l = s + 1$ ,  $\eta = \max \{2, \gamma\} = \gamma$ ) and by inequality (13) we have that ( $C_{26} = C_{26}(s + 1, r, \gamma)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} C_{11}(s + 1, s, \gamma) \left\| h_0^{(s)} \right\|_{\gamma} &\geq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma s - 1} \omega_{s+1}^{\gamma}(h_0; \pi/n)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \geq \\ &\geq C_{26}^{-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma s - 1} n^{\gamma(1/r - 1/\gamma)} \lambda_n^{\gamma} \varepsilon_n^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} = C_{26}^{-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma \sigma - 1} \lambda_n^{\gamma} \varepsilon_n^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we proof the estimation (iii). In the case  $s = 0$  taking into account  $c_n(h_0) = n^{1/r - 1} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (iii) of Lemma 3 and inequalities (13) and (14) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma(1/r - 1/\gamma) - 1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} = \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma - 2} c_{\nu}^{\gamma}(h_0) \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n+1} \nu^{\gamma - 2} c_{\nu}^{\gamma}(h_0) \right)^{1/\gamma} + \left( \sum_{\nu=2(n+1)}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma - 2} c_{\nu}^{\gamma}(h_0) \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq c_{n+1}(h_0) (\gamma - 1)^{-1/\gamma} (2^{\gamma - 1} - 1)^{1/\gamma} (n + 1)^{1 - 1/\gamma} + C_{31}(\gamma) E_{n+1}(h_0)_{\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq (\gamma - 1)^{-1/\gamma} (2^{\gamma - 1} - 1)^{1/\gamma} 2^{1 - 1/\gamma} n^{1 - 1/\gamma} c_n(h_0) + C_{31}(\gamma) E_n(h_0)_{\gamma} = \\ &= (\gamma - 1)^{-1/\gamma} (2^{\gamma - 1} - 1)^{1/\gamma} 2^{1 - 1/\gamma} n^{1/r - 1/\gamma} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n + C_{31}(\gamma) E_n(h_0)_{\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq C_{32}(\gamma) C_{26}(k, r, \gamma) \omega_k(h_0; \pi/n)_{\gamma} + C_{31}(\gamma) C_{28}(k) \omega_k(h_0; \pi/(n + 1))_{\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq \{C_{32}(\gamma) C_{26}(k, r, \gamma) + C_{31}(\gamma) C_{28}(k)\} \omega_k(h_0; \pi/n)_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $s > 0$  by (iii) of Lemma 4 (we put  $l = k + s$ ) and inequality (13) we obtain that ( $C_{33} = C_{26}(k + s, r, \gamma)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} C_{12}(k + s, k, s, \gamma) \omega_k(h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} &\geq \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma s - 1} \omega_{k+s}^{\gamma}(h_0; \pi/\nu)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \geq \\ &\geq C_{33}^{-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma s - 1} \nu^{\gamma(1/r - 1/\gamma)} \lambda_{\nu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} = C_{33}^{-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma \sigma - 1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

From obtained estimations follows the estimation of the first summand in (iii) for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $2 < \gamma < \infty$ .

Further, by (i) of Lemma 4 (we put  $l = k + s + 1$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) and inequality (13) we have that ( $C_{10} = C_{10}(k + s + 1, k + s, \gamma)$ ,  $C_{34} = C_{26}(k + s + 1, r, \gamma)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} C_{10} \pi^s \omega_k(h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} &\geq n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma(k+s) - 1} \omega_{k+s+1}^{\gamma}(h_0; \pi/\nu)_{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \geq \\ &\geq C_{34}^{-1} n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma(k+s) - 1} \nu^{\gamma(1/r - 1/\gamma)} \lambda_{\nu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} = C_{34}^{-1} n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\gamma(k+\sigma) - 1} \lambda_{\nu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows the estimation of the second summand in (iii) for  $2 < \gamma < \infty$ .

At last we consider the case  $\gamma = \infty$  ( $\Rightarrow \tau = 1$ ). We proof the validity of implication "  $\Rightarrow$  " in (ii). If  $h_0 = f_0 * g_0 \in W_\infty^s(\mathbb{T}) \equiv C^s(\mathbb{T})$ , then taking into account equality  $c_n(h_0) = c_n(f_0)c_n(g_0) = n^{-(1/p'+1/q')} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n = n^{1/r-1} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and by (iii) of Lemma 2 we have that ( $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/r$ )

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\sigma-1} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s+1/r-1} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^s c_n(h_0) \leq \left\| \psi^{(s)} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Further, by (iv) of Lemma 2 we obtain that ( $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ )

$$\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\sigma-1} \lambda_\nu \varepsilon_\nu = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{s+1/r-1} \lambda_\nu \varepsilon_\nu = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^s c_\nu(h_0) \leq C_{35}(k) \omega_k(h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\infty},$$

whence it follows the estimation of the first summand in (iii). Now we estimate the second summand in (iii). For  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by (i) of Lemma 2 for even  $k + s$  and by (ii) of Lemma 2 for odd  $k + s$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-k} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{k+\sigma-1} \lambda_\nu \varepsilon_\nu &= n^{-k} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{k+s+1/r-1} \lambda_\nu \varepsilon_\nu = n^{-k} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{k+s} c_\nu(h_0) \leq \\ &\leq C_{36}(k+s) n^s \omega_{k+s}(h_0; \pi/n)_{\infty} \leq C_{36}(k+s) \pi^s \omega_k(h_0^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows the estimation of the second summand in (iii).

Lemma 5 is proved.

Given  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\lambda, \varepsilon \in M_0$ , put

$$E_p[\lambda] * E_q[\varepsilon] = \{h = f * g : f \in E_p[\lambda], g \in E_q[\varepsilon]\}.$$

The following theorem shows that estimation (5) of Theorem 1 is exact in the sence of order on classes  $E_p[\lambda] * E_q[\varepsilon]$  in the case  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  under conditions that  $\lambda \in M_0(\alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon \in M_0(\beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $r = pq/(p+q-pq) \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma \in (r, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/r - 1/\gamma$ ,  $\tau = \tau(\gamma) = \gamma$  for  $\gamma < \infty$  and  $\tau(\infty) = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in M_0(\alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in M_0(\beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ , and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_n^\tau \varepsilon_n^\tau < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup \left\{ \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \pi/n)_{\gamma} : h \in E_p[\lambda] * E_q[\varepsilon] \right\} \asymp \\ &\asymp \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\tau\sigma-1} \lambda_\nu^\tau \varepsilon_\nu^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} + n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+\sigma)-1} \lambda_\nu^\tau \varepsilon_\nu^\tau \right)^{1/\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, the upper estimation for every  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$  and for arbitrary  $\lambda, \varepsilon \in M_0$  immediately follows by inequality (5) of Theorem 1. The lower estimation is realized by function

$$h_0(\cdot; p, q; \lambda, \varepsilon) = (C_{21}(p, \alpha))^{-1} f_0(\cdot; p; \alpha) * (C_{21}(q, \beta))^{-1} g_0(\cdot; q; \varepsilon) \in E_p[\lambda] * E_q[\varepsilon]$$

[N.A.Ilyasov]

in virtue of (iii) of Lemma 5.

**Remark.** The condition convergence of the series (15) it is necessary and sufficiently for imbedding  $E_p[\lambda] * E_q[\varepsilon] \subset W_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T})$ . The sufficiency for arbitrary  $\lambda, \varepsilon \in M_0$  immediately follows from the first part of the statement of Theorem 1. The necessity under conditions  $\lambda \in M_0(\alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon \in M_0(\beta)$  follows from the statement (ii) of Lemma 5.

Given  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$  we denote  $E_{p,\alpha} = E_p[\{n^{-\alpha}\}_{n=1}^\infty]$ ,  $E_{q,\beta} = E_q[\{n^{-\beta}\}_{n=1}^\infty]$ . The following statement follows from Theorem 2.

**Corollary.** Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1 > 0$  ( $\Rightarrow r \in (1, \infty)$ ),  $\gamma \in (r, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\sigma = s + 1/r - 1/\gamma$ ,  $\tau = \tau(\gamma) = \gamma$  for  $\gamma < \infty$  and  $\tau(\infty) = 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\rho = \alpha + \beta - \sigma > 0$ . Then for  $\delta \in (0, \pi]$

$$(i) \sup \left\{ \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \delta)_\gamma : h \in E_{p,\alpha} * E_{q,\beta} \right\} \asymp \\ \asymp \left\{ \delta^\rho \text{ for } \rho < k; \delta^k (\ln(\pi e/\delta))^{1/\tau} \text{ for } \rho = k; \delta^k \text{ for } \rho > k \right\}.$$

$$(ii) \sup \left\{ \omega_{k+1}(h^{(s)}; \delta)_\gamma : h \in E_{p,\alpha} * E_{q,\beta} \right\} \asymp \delta^k \text{ for } \rho = k.$$

**Proof.** For the proof it is sufficiently to note the following (see f.e. [22], the proof of Theorem 3). For every  $\delta \in (0, \pi]$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi/(n+1) < \delta \leq \pi/n$ , whence we have the following estimations:

$$2^{-k} \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma \leq \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \delta)_\gamma \leq \omega_k(h^{(s)}; \pi/n)_\gamma;$$

$$2^{-\rho} (\pi/n)^\rho < \delta^\rho \leq (\pi/n)^\rho \text{ for every } \rho \in (0, \infty);$$

$$\delta^k (\ln(\pi e/\delta))^{1/\tau} \leq (\pi/n)^k (\ln(e(n+1)))^{1/\tau} = \\ = \pi^k n^{-k} (1 + \ln(n+1))^{1/\tau} \leq 3^{1/\tau} \pi^k n^{-k} (\ln(n+1))^{1/\tau};$$

$$n^{-k} (\ln(en))^{1/\tau} \leq (2/\pi)^k (\pi/(n+1))^k (\ln(\pi e/\delta))^{1/\tau} < (2/\pi)^k \delta^k (\ln(\pi e/\delta))^{1/\tau}.$$

Furthermore the following estimations hold:

$$(\tau\rho)^{-1/\tau} 2^{-\rho} n^{-\rho} \leq (\tau\rho)^{-1/\tau} (n+1)^{-\rho} \leq \left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-\tau\rho-1} \right)^{1/\tau} \leq (\tau\rho)^{-1/\tau} n^{-\rho}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$\varphi_n(k-\rho; \tau) \leq n^{-k} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k-\rho)-1} \right)^{1/\tau} \leq \psi_n(k-\rho; \tau), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ where } \varphi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = \\ (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} n^{-\rho}, \quad \psi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} n^{-k} \left( (n+1)^{\tau(k-\rho)} - 1 \right)^{1/\tau} \leq \\ \leq (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} 2^{k-\rho} n^{-\rho} \text{ either } \psi_n(k-\rho; \tau) \leq n^{-\rho} \text{ for } \rho < k \text{ and } \tau(k-\rho) \geq 1;$$

$$\varphi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} n^{-k} \left( (n+1)^{\tau(k-\rho)} - 1 \right)^{1/\tau} \geq$$

$$\geq (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} n^{-k} \left( \tau(k-\rho) 2^{\tau(k-\rho)-1} n^{\tau(k-\rho)} \right)^{1/\tau} = 2^{k-\rho-1/\tau} n^{-\rho},$$

$$\psi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = (\tau(k-\rho))^{-1/\tau} n^{-\rho} \text{ for } \rho < k \text{ and } \tau(k-\rho) \leq 1;$$

$$\varphi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = n^{-k} (\ln(n+1))^{1/\tau}, \quad \psi_n(k-\rho; \tau) = n^{-k} (\ln(en))^{1/\tau} \text{ for } \rho = k;$$

$$\varphi_n(k - \rho; \tau) = n^{-k}, \psi_n(k - \rho; \tau) = \left(1 + (\tau(\rho - k))^{-1}\right)^{1/\tau} n^{-k} \text{ for } \rho > k;$$

$$\tau^{-1/\tau} n^{-k} \leq n^{-(k+1)} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{\tau(k+1-\rho)-1}\right)^{1/\tau} \leq n^{-k} \text{ for } \rho = k.$$

### References

- [1]. Zygmund A. *Trigonometric series*, v.1, 2. M.: Mir, 1965. (Russian)
- [2]. Edwards R. *Fourier series in modern exposition*, v.1, 2. M.: Mir, 1985. (Russian)
- [3]. Ilyasov N. A. *Estimations of the smoothness modules of convolution of functions by means of their best approximations in  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$* . Trans. of NAS of Azerbaijan, Ser. phys.-tech. and math. sci., 2005, vol. XXV, No 7, pp. 15-30.
- [4]. Konyushkov A.A. *The best approximations by trigonometric polynomials and Fourier coefficients*. Matem. Sbornik, 1958, vol. 44(86), No 1, pp. 53-84 (Russian)
- [5]. Timan A.F. *Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable*. M.: Fizmatgiz, 1960. (Russian)
- [6]. Ul'yanov P.L. *Embedding theorems and relationships between the best approximations (moduli of continuity) in different metrics*. Matem. Sbornik, 1970, vol. 81, No 1, pp. 104-131 (Russian).
- [7]. Ul'yanov P.L. *Embedding of certain classes of functions  $H_p^\omega$* . Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem., 1968, vol. 32, No 3, pp. 649-686 (Russian).
- [8]. Ul'yanov P.L. *Embedding theorems and the best approximations*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1969, vol. 184, No 5, pp. 1044-1047 (Russian).
- [9]. Timan M.F. *On the certain embedding theorems of the  $L_p$ -classes of functions*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1970, vol. 193, No 6, pp. 1251-1254 (Russian).
- [10]. Timan M.F. *On the embedding  $L_p^{(k)}$  of classes of functions*. Izv. Vuzov, Matematika, 1974, No 10, pp. 61-74 (Russian).
- [11]. Il'yasov N. A. *Imbedding theorems for the structural and constructive characteristics of functions*. Cand. Sci. (Phys.-Mat.) Dissertation, Azerb. State Univ., Baku, 1987, 150 p. (Russian).
- [12]. Il'yasov N. A. *On the approximation of periodic functions by the Fejer-Zygmund means in different metrics*. Mat. Zametki, 1990, vol. 48, No 4, pp. 48-57 (Russian).
- [13]. Il'yasov N.A. *On conditions for imbedding of the classes  $E_p[\varepsilon]$  in  $W^r H_q^k[\varphi]$* , I. The manuscript deposited to AzNIINTI 04.12.1985, No 426 Az-D85. Azerb. University, Baku, 1985, 11p. (Russian).
- [14]. Il'yasov N.A. *The inverse theorem of the approximation theory in different metrics*. Mat. Zametki, 1991, vol. 50, No 6, pp. 57-65 (Russian).
- [15]. Il'yasov N.A. *On conditions for imbedding of the classes  $E_p[\varepsilon]$  in  $W^r H_q^k[\varphi]$* , II. The manuscript deposited to AzNIINTI 15.09.1987, No 855 Az-D87. Azerb. University, Baku, 1987, 16p. (Russian).
- [16]. Sikhov M.B. *On the imbedding of certain classes of functions*. Izv. Akad. Nauk Kaz.SSR, Ser. Fiz.-mat., 1988, No 1, pp. 45-47. (Russian).
- [17]. Temirgaliev N.T. *On the imbedding of certain classes of functions*. Mat. Zametki, 1976, vol. 20, No 6, pp. 835-841 (Russian).
- [18]. Kolyada V.I. *Imbedding theorems and the inequalities of different metrics for the best approximations*. Matem. Sbornik, 1977, vol. 102 (144), No 2, pp. 195-215 (Russian)
- [19]. Timan M.F. *Orthonormal systems satisfying an inequality of S.M.Nikol'ski*. Analysis Mathematica, 1978, vol. 4, No 1, pp.75-82.

[N.A.Ilyasov]

[20]. Ilyasov N.A. *To the inverse theorem of the theory of approximation of periodic functions in different metrics.* Mat. Zametki, 1992, vol. 52, No 2, pp. 53-61 (Russian).

[21]. Ilyasov N.A. *Estimations of the best approximation of convolution of functions by means of their smoothness modules in  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ .* Trans. of NAS of Azerbaijan, Ser. phys.-tech. & math. sci., 2005, vol.XXV, No 4, pp.39-48.

[22]. Ilyasov N.A. *Estimations of the smoothness modules of derivatives of convolution of two periodic functions by means of their best approximations in  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ .* Trans. of NAS of Azerbaijan, Ser. phys.-tech. and math. sci., 2010, vol.XXX, No 1, pp. 89-105.

[23]. Konyushkov A.A. *On the best approximations in the transformation of Fourier coefficients by the method of arithmetic means and on Fourier series with nonnegative coefficients.* Sibir. Matem. Zh., 1962, vol. 3, No 1, pp.56-78 (Russian).

[24] Stechkin S.B. *On the Kolmogorov-Seliverstov theorem.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem., 1953, vol.17, No 6, pp.499-512 (Russian).

[25]. Timan M.F. *Inverse theorems of the constructive theory of functions in the spaces  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ).* Matem. Sbornik, 1958, vol.46, No 1, pp.125-132. (Russian)

[26]. DeVore R.A., Lorentz G.G. *Constructive Approximation.* New York - Berlin - Heidelberg, Springer - Verlag, 1993.

[27]. Timan M.F. *On Jackson's theorem in the space  $L_p$ .* Ukr. Mat. Zh., 1966, vol. 18, No 1, pp. 135-137 (Russian).

[28]. Hardy G.H., Littlewood J.E., Polya G. *Inequalities.* M.: IL, 1948 (Russian).

[29]. Besov O.V. *On some conditions for belonging to  $L_p$  of derivatives of periodic functions.* Scientific reports of the higher school. Phys. -math. sci., 1959, No 1, pp. 13-17 (Russian).

[30]. Andrienko V.A. *Imbedding theorems for functions of one variable.* In : Results of a science, Mathematical analysis, 1969. M.: VINITI Akad. Nauk SSSR Press, 1970, pp.203-262 (Russian).

[31]. Zhuk V.V., Natanson G.I. *The properties of functions and the growth of derivatives of approximating polynomials.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1973, vol. 212, No 1, pp. 19-22 (Russian).

[32]. Yesmaganbetov M.G., Nauryzbayev K.J., Smailov Y.S. *On estimations of the smoothness modules of positive order in  $L_p$ .* The manuscript deposited to VINITI 12.06.1981, No 2859-81 DEP. Alma-Ata, 1981, 15 p. (Russian).

[33]. Simonov B.V. *On the properties of transformed Fourier series.* The manuscript deposited to VINITI 22.06.1981, No 3031-81 DEP. MSU, Moscow, 1981, 45 p. (Russian).

[34]. Ilyasov N.A. *Marchaud's type inequalities for convolution of two periodical functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ , I.* Trans. of NAS of Azerbaijan, Ser. phys.-tech. & math. sci., 2007, vol.XXVII, No 4, pp.47-66.

[35] Stechkin S.B. *On the order of the best approximations of continuous functions.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem., 1951, vol.15, No 3, pp.219-242 (Russian).

### Niyazi A. Ilyasov

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS Azerbaijan

9, F. Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan

Tel.: (99412) 439 92 74 (off.).

E-mail: nilyasov@yahoo.com, niyazi.ilyasov@gmail.com

Received February 17, 2010; Revised May 12, 2010.