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#### Abstract

In the paper, the sufficient conditions providing the existence and uniqueness of a boundary value problem on a finite interval for elliptic type, second order operator- differential equations with variable coefficients are obtained. These conditions were expressed by the properties of operator coefficients of the equations.


In a separable Hilbert space $H$ consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(d / d t) u(t)=-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\rho(t) A^{2} u(t)+ \\
+A_{1}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+A_{2}(t) u^{\prime}(t)=f(t), t \in(0, T)  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=0, u(T)=0, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(t), u(t)$ are the functions determined almost everywhere in $(0, T)$ and the operator coefficients satisfy the conditions:

1) A is a positive-definite self -adjoint operator in $H$ with domain of definition $D(A)$;
2) $\rho(t)$ is a measurable, bounded function in $(0, T)$ and $0<\alpha \leq \rho(t) \leq \beta<\infty$;
3) $A_{1}(t), A_{2}(t)$ are linear operators for $t \in(0, T)$, and $A_{1}(t)$ has a strongly continuous derivative, the operators $B_{1}(t)=A_{1}(t) A^{-1}, C_{1}(t)=A^{-1} A_{1}^{\prime}(t) A^{-1}$ and $B_{2}(t)=A^{-1} A_{2}(t) A^{-1}$ are bounded in $H$, moreover

$$
\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\|+\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\|+\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\| \leq \text { const. }
$$

Denote by $L_{2}((0, T): H)$ a Hilbert space of functions $f(t)$ determined almost everywhere in $(0, T)$, with the values in $H$, quadratically integrable by Bochner, for which

$$
\|f\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|f(t)\|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

For $m=1, m=2$ determine the Hilbert spaces [1]

$$
W_{2}^{m}((0, T): H)=\left\{u(t): u^{(m)} \in L_{2}((0, T): H), A^{m} u \in L_{2}((0, T): H)\right\}
$$

$\qquad$
with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{m}((0, T): H)}=\left(\left\|u^{(m)}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\left\|A^{m} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In what follows denote by $D\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$ a linear set of infinitely differentiable in $[0, T]$ vector- functions with the values in $H$, where

$$
H_{1}=D(A),(x, y)_{H_{1}}=(A x, A y)
$$

and

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)=\left\{u: u \in D\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right), u(0)=u(T)=0\right\} .
$$

Note that $D\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$ are everywhere dense in the spaces $W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)$, respectively [1], where

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)=\left\{u \in W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H), u(0)=u(T)=0\right\} .
$$

Denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}(d / d t) u= & -u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\rho(t) A^{2} u(t), P_{1}(d / d t) u(t)= \\
& =A_{1}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+A^{2}(t) u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

At first prove the following
Lemma. Let conditions 1) -3) be fulfilled. Then the bilinear function

$$
P(u, \psi)=\left(P_{0}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(P_{1}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}
$$

determined for all functions $u, \psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$ continues by continuity on the space $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{1}((0, T): H) \oplus W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)$ to the bilinear functional

$$
\widetilde{P}(u, \psi)=\widetilde{P}_{0}(u, \psi)+\widetilde{P_{1}}(u, \psi),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{P}_{0}(u, \psi)=\left(u^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(\rho^{1 / 2} A u, \rho^{1 / 2} A \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}, \\
\widetilde{P}_{1}(u, \psi)=-\left(A_{1}(t) u^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}-\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\left(A_{2}(t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $u, \psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$. Then integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(P_{0}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=-\left(u^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\left(\rho(t) A^{2} u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}= \\
=\left(u, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(\rho^{1 / 2} A u, \rho^{1 / 2} A \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}, \\
\left(P_{1}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=-\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(t) u, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}-
\end{gathered}
$$
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$$
-\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(A_{2}(t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(P_{0}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\beta\|A u\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq \\
\leq \text { const } \cdot\|u\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)} \cdot\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)},  \tag{3}\\
\left(P_{1}(d / d t) u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq\left|\left(B_{1}(t) A u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right|+ \\
+\left|\left(C_{1}(t) A u, A \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right|+\left|\left(B_{2}(t) A u, A \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right| \leq \\
\leq \sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A u\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A u\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A u\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq \\
\leq \text { const } \cdot\|u\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)} \cdot\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then statement of the lemma follows from the density of $\stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)$ and from inequalities 3$\left.), 4\right)$.

Definition. If the function $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W} \frac{1}{2}((0, T): H)$ satisfies the identity $(\widetilde{P} u, \psi)=$ $(f, \psi)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \quad$ for any $\psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{W} \frac{1}{2}((0, T): H)$, it is called a generalized solution of problem (1) (2).

In this paper we'll indicate sufficient conditions on the coefficients of equation (1) that ensure the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution of problem (1) (2). Note that similar problems in a half-space were studied for instance in the papers [2-4], in the finite interval in [5,6]

It holds the following
Theorem 1. Let conditions 1) and 2) be fulfilled. Then for any $f \in$ $L_{2}((0, T): H)$ there exists a unique function $u(t) \in W_{2}^{2}((0, T): H)$ that satisfies the equation $P_{0}(d / d t) u=-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\rho(t) A^{2} u(t)=f(t), \quad t \in(0, T)$ almost everywhere in $(0, T)$ and the boundary condition $u(0)=u(T)=0$.

Proof. Determine the operator $P_{0}$ in $L_{2}((0, T): H)$ generated by the differential expression $P_{0}(d / d t)$ with domain of definition

$$
D\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}((0, T): H), u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)\right\} .
$$

Obviously, $P_{0}$ is a self- adjoint operator in $L_{2}((0, T): H)$. On the hand, for any $u \in D\left(P_{0}\right)$ it holds the relation

$$
\left(P_{0} u, u\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=-\left(u^{\prime \prime}, u\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(\rho(t) A^{2} u, u\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=
$$
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$$
=\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\left(\rho^{1 / 2} A u, \rho^{1 / 2} A \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \geq \alpha \mu_{0}^{2}\|u\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ is the lower bound of the spectrum of the operator $A$. Thus the operator $P_{0}$ is a positive-definite self-adjoint operator in $L_{2}((0, T): H)$. Then the equation $P_{0} u=f$ has a unique solution $u \in D\left(P_{0}\right)$ for any $f \in L_{2}((0, T): H)$. Hence it follows the statement of the theorem.

Corollary. The solution of the equation $P_{0} u=f$ satisfied the relation

$$
\left(\widehat{P}_{0} u, \psi\right)=(f, \psi)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}
$$

Indeed, $u \in W_{2}^{2}((0, T): H)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{P}_{0}(u, \psi)=\left(u^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+\left(\rho^{1 / 2} A u, \rho^{1 / 2} A u\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}= \\
=\left(-u^{\prime \prime}+\rho A^{2} u, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}=(f, \psi)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}
\end{gathered}
$$

now prove the main theorem.
Theorem 2. Let conditions 1)-3) be fulfilled and it hold the inequality

$$
q=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\|+\left(\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\|+\sup _{t \in(0, T)}\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\|\right)<\min (1, \alpha)
$$

Then problem $(1),(2)$ has a unique regular solution for any $f \in L_{2}((0, T): H)$
Proof. Let $\psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{D}\left([0, T]: H_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
(\widetilde{P} \psi, \psi)=\left(\widetilde{P}_{0} \psi, \psi\right)+\left(\widetilde{P}_{1} \psi, \psi\right)>\left(\widetilde{P}_{0} \psi, \psi\right)-\left|\left(\widetilde{P}_{1} \psi, \psi\right)\right|
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\widetilde{P}_{0} \psi, \psi\right)=\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A \psi\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2} \geq \\
\geq\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\alpha\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and (see the proof of the lemma)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left(\widetilde{P}_{1} \psi, \psi\right)\right| \leq\left|\left(A_{1}(t) \psi, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right|+\left|\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(t) \psi, \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right|+ \\
+\left|\left(A_{2}(t) \psi, \psi^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}\right| \leq \sup _{t}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \cdot\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}+ \\
+\sup _{t}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\sup _{t}\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\| \cdot\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2} \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup _{t}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\| \cdot\left(\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\|\psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}\right)+ \\
+\left(\sup _{t}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\|+\sup _{t}\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\|\right)\left\|A^{2} \psi\right\| \leq \\
\leq\left(\frac{1}{2} \sup _{t}\left\|B_{1}(t)\right\|+\sup _{t}\left\|C_{1}(t)\right\|+\left\|B_{2}(t)\right\|\right) \times
\end{gathered}
$$
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$$
\times\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2} \leq q\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\widetilde{P}_{1} \psi, \psi\right)\right| \geq\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}+\alpha\|A \psi\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}^{2}- \\
& -q\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2} \geq(\min (1, \alpha)-q)\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $\psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}{ }_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)(\widetilde{P} \psi, \psi) \geq C\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2}$ i.e. the bilinear form is positive-definite. Now look for the generalized solution $u(t)$ in the form of $u(t)=u_{0}(t)+u_{1}(t)$, where $u_{1}(t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{W} \underset{2}{1}((0, T): H)$ is a still unknown function, and $u_{0}(t)$ is the solution of the equation $P_{0} u=f$ from theorem 1.Then using corollary (1) with respect to $u_{1}$, we get the relation

$$
\left(\widetilde{P}_{0}\left(u_{0}+u_{1}\right), \psi\right)+\left(\widetilde{P}_{1}\left(u_{0}+u_{1}\right), \psi\right)=(f, \psi)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{P}_{0} u_{1}, \psi\right)+\widetilde{P}_{1}\left(u_{1}, \psi\right)=-\left(\widetilde{P}_{1} u_{0}, \psi\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the right side is a linear functional with respect to $\psi$, and taking into account that $(\widetilde{P} \widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\psi}) \geq C\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)}^{2}$, by applying the Lax-Milgram theorem we get that there exists a unique function $u_{1}(t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}{ }_{2}^{1}((0, T): H)$ that satisfies relation (5). Then the function $u_{0}(t)+u_{1}(t)$ will be a unique generalized solution of problem (1), (2).

The theorem is proved.
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