#### Besarion DOCHVIRI, Omar GLONTI, Omar PURTUKHIA, Grigol SOKHADZE

### RADON-NIKODYM DERIVATIVE OF SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS WITH RANDOM RIGHT SIDE AND APPLICATIONS

#### Abstract

In Hilbert space H consider the equation

$$Ay + B(y) = \xi$$

where A is an unbounded linear operator, B is a bounded smooth operator and  $\xi$  is a random element in H with smooth distribution measure  $\mu_{\xi}$  Specifically we suppose that  $\mu_{\xi}$  possesses a logarithmical derivative along the directions of vectors from the dense subspace  $H_{+} \subset H$ .

We study the problem: when the distribution  $\mu_y$  of the solution of the given equation y possesses a logarithmical derivative, and under what conditions this measure is equivalent with respect to a simpler measure. In the case of equivalence we calculate the Radon-Nikodym density. We cite examples when A is a differential operator.

Before going on to the main problem we cite a theorem on nonlinear transformation of smooth measure in Banach space from the paper [1], that we'll need in future.

Let *B* be a separable real Banach space; *H* be Hilbert space compactly imbedded on *B* and the imbedding  $i : H \to B$  be the Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Therewith  $i^* : B^* \to H^* \sim H$  and therefore we'll assume that  $B^* \subset H \subset B$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a coupling of elements from *B* and  $B^*$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure (a real-valued finite function of the sets) on a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and  $z(x) : B \to B^*$  be a vector field in *B*.

It is said that (see [2])  $\mu$  possesses a logarith mical derivative along the vector field z of the form  $\rho_{\mu}(z, x)$  if for any function  $\varphi \in C_b^1(B)$  it is valid the equality (the integration by parts formula)

$$\int_{B} \left\langle \varphi'(x), z(x) \right\rangle \mu(dx) = \int_{B} \varphi(x) \rho_{\mu}(z, x) \mu(dx).$$

We'll denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  a set of measures possessing a logarithmic derivative along any constant directions  $z(x) = h \in B^*$  of the form  $\rho_{\mu}(z,x) = \langle \lambda(x), h \rangle$ , where  $\lambda(x) : B \to B$  is a continuous function. In particular, Gauss measures and also their smooth images belong to  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Theorem 1.** [1] Let a nonlinear transformation  $f: B \to B$  having the inverse of the form  $f^{-1}: x \to y = x + F(x)$  where  $F(x): B \to B^*$  is differentiable, act on B. Then if the operator I + tF'(x) is inversible for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ : then the

# $\frac{46}{[B.Dochviri, O.Glonti, O.Purtukhia, G.Sokhadze]} Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan (March 1997) and (March 1997) and$

measures  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mu^f = \mu(f^{-1})$  are equivalent and we can represent the Radon -Nikodym derivative in the form:

$$\frac{d\mu^f}{d\mu}(x) = \left|\det\left(I + F'(x)\right)\right| \exp\left\langle \int_0^1 \lambda(x + tF(x)dt, F(x))\right\rangle \tag{1}$$

**Remark**. Consider the expression

$$\beta(t, F, x) = \langle \lambda(x + tF(x), F(x)) \rangle + trF'(x).$$

As it is shown in [2] it has sense also when:  $F: B \to H$ , and therefore we can strengthen the theorem, and require this condition on the function F instead of  $F(x): B \to B^*$ . Therewith (1) takes the form

$$\frac{d\mu^f}{d\mu}(x) = \left|\det\left(I + F'(x)\right)\right| \exp \int_0^1 \beta(t, F, x) dt$$

In a separable real Hilbert space H consider the equation

$$A\eta + g(\eta) = \xi, \tag{2}$$

for which the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) A is a linear unbounded operator with domain of definition  $\mathbb{D}(A)$  densely imbedded in H Suppose that there exists a bounded inverse  $A^{-1}$  being the Hilbert Schimdt operator. In the domain  $\mathbb{D}(A)$  introduce a scalar derivative by the formula  $(x,y)_{\mathbb{D}} = (Ax,Ay)_{H}$  . We get an equipped Hilbert space  $X_{+} \subset X \subset X_{-},$  where  $X_+ = \mathbb{D}(A), X = H;$ 

b) g is a differentiable nonlinear mapping, and the operator  $I + tA^{-1}g'(x)$  is inversible for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

c) the random element  $\xi$  in  $X_{-}$  has the distribution  $\mu_{\xi} \in \mathfrak{M}$ , i.e..

 $E(\varphi'(\xi), h)_H = E\varphi(\xi)(\lambda(\xi), h)_H, \varphi \in C_h^1(X_-).$ 

In addition to (2) consider the linear equation

$$A\varsigma = \xi. \tag{3}$$

Let  $\mu_\eta$  and  $\mu_\varsigma$  be measures corresponding to random elements  $\eta$  and  $\varsigma$  .

**Theorem 2.** Let conditions a) b) c) be fulfilled for equations (2) and (3). Then  $\mu_{\eta} \sim \mu_{\varsigma}$  and

$$\frac{d\mu_{\eta}}{d\mu_{\varsigma}}(v) = \left|\det(I + A^{-1}g'(v))\right| \exp \int_{0}^{1} \beta(t, A^{-1}, g, v) dt,$$
(4)

if g'(v) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then (4) takes the form

$$\frac{d\mu_{\eta}}{d\mu_{\varsigma}}(v) = \left|\det(I + A^{-1}g'(v))\right| \exp\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(Av + tg(v))dt, g(v)\right)_{H}.$$

Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan \_\_\_\_\_ 47 [Radon-Nikodym derivative of solution...]

Let  $\Delta$  be an open, bounded domain in finite -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote the boundary  $\Delta$  by  $\partial \Delta$  Everywhere we suppose that  $\partial \Delta$  is a smooth surface. Under this we understand the following one: to each point  $x \in \partial \Delta$  we can assign *n*dimensional ball  $\Gamma(x)$  centered at the point x such that the part  $\partial \Delta$  contained in  $\Gamma(x)$  admits the representation with respect to some system of coordinates  $(t_1, ..., t_n)$ with origin at the point x, of the form

$$t_n = \psi(t_1, .., t_n),$$
 (5)

where the function  $\psi$  is determined in some domain, where it belongs to the class  $C^1$  and  $\psi(x) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} = 0$ , i = 1, ...n. There with at each point  $x \in \partial \Delta$  there exists a definite tangential hyper plane  $T_x$ , given by the equation  $t_n$ . Say that the domain  $\Delta \cup \partial \Delta$  belongs to the class  $A^{(k)}$  if the function  $\psi$  contained in (5) belongs to the class  $C^k$ .

For the derivatives (ordinary or generalized) we apply the following denotation:

$$D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \ D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}, \ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \ |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n.$$

The linear differential expression of order r is written as follows:

$$Lu = \sum_{|\alpha| \le r} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u$$

where  $a_{\alpha}(x)$  are real coefficients that are smooth. Under this we mean

$$a_{\alpha}(x) \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Delta \cup \partial \Delta).$$

Denote the conjugation to L by  $L^*$ . Thus,

$$L^*u = \sum_{|\alpha| \le r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}(a_{\alpha}(x)u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le r} b_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}u.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$  a space of real valued functions that are integrable together with own square with respect to Lebesgue measure and with a scalar product

$$(u,v)_{\mathcal{L}_2(G)} = \int_G u(x)v(x)dx, \quad u,v \in \mathcal{L}$$

As usually  $W_2^l(G)$  denotes a Sobolev space with a scalar product

$$(u,v)_{W_2^l(G)} = (u,v)_{\mathcal{L}_2(G)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=l} (D^{\alpha}u, D^{\alpha}v)_{\mathcal{L}_2(G)}.$$

In order to cover a more general situation we follow [3] and introduce the notion of boundary conditions. Denote the set of functions finite with respect to G and  $\infty$ from  $C^l(l=0,1,...,\infty)$  by  $C^l_0(G),$  the space  $W^{0l}_2(G)$  , l=0,1,... is determined as a subspace of  $W_2^l(G)$  obtained by the closure in  $W_2^l(G)$  of the linear set  $C_0^{\infty} \subset W_2^l(G)$ .

## 48\_\_\_\_\_ [B.Dochviri,O.Glonti,O.Purtukhia,G.Sokhadze]

It is known that  $W_2^{0l}(G)$  for  $l \ge 1$  coincides with the totality of all functions  $u(x) \in$  $W_2^l(G)$  for which  $(D^{\alpha}u)(x) = 0, (x \in \Gamma)$  for  $|\alpha| \le l-1$ .

Any subspace from  $W_2^l(G)$  containing  $W_2^{0l}(G)$  is called a subspace of functions satisfying definite boundary conditions and is denoted as  $\overline{W}_2^l(\partial G)$ .

Let's consider a triple of equipped Hilbert spaces

$$W_2^{2p} \subset W_2^p \subset \mathcal{L}_2(G), \tag{6}$$

where G is an open bounded domain of the class  $A^{(1)}$ . Let  $\xi = \xi(x), x \in G$  be a random field with probability 1 belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$  and let the distribution  $\mu_{\mathcal{E}}$ in  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$  possess a logarithmic derivative along  $W_2^{2p}$  of the form  $\lambda(x) : \mathcal{L}_2(G) \to \mathcal{L}_2(G)$  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$ . Take a general differential expression

$$Lu = \sum_{|\alpha| \le r} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u, \tag{7}$$

and suppose that for differential operators L and  $L^*$  the following energetic inequalities are fulfilled:

$$\|Lu\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(G)} \ge c \,\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(G)} \,, \quad \|L^{*}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(G)} \ge c \,\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(G)} \,, \tag{8}$$

where c > 0,  $u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$ .

We understand the solvability of the boundary value problem

$$L\varsigma(x) = \xi(x), \quad \varsigma \in \overline{W}_2^\alpha(\partial G) \tag{9}$$

in the following sense: as is known (details in [3], subject to energetic inequalities (8) there exists a resolvable extension of L having a continuous inverse determined on all  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$ . We'll again write the resolvable extension of L by L. Under the solution of the stated problem it is natural to understand  $\zeta = L^{-1}\xi$ . In the similar sense we should also understand the solvability of the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$(L\eta)(x) + g(x,\eta(x)) = \xi(x), \quad \eta \in \overline{W}_2^{\alpha}(\partial G)$$

as the solvability of the equation

$$\eta(x) + L^{-1}g(x,\eta(x)) = L^{-1}\xi(x),$$

where g(x, y) is a smooth function in  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$ .

Consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$(L\eta)(x) + g(x,\eta(x)) = \xi(x), \quad \eta \in \overline{W}_2^{\alpha}(\partial G)$$
(10)

In (9) and (10)  $\xi(x)$  is a random field satisfying the conditions:

$$\int_{G} E\xi^{2}(x)dx < \infty \tag{11}$$

and its distribution  $\mu_{\xi}$  possesses a logarithmic derivative along the constant directions  $W_2^{2p}$ . This means that for any smooth functional  $\varphi \in C^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_2(G))$  we have

$$E(\varphi'(\xi), h)_{W_{2}^{p}(G)} = E\varphi(\xi)(\lambda(\xi), h)_{W_{2}^{p}(G)},$$
(12)

where  $\lambda : \mathcal{L}_2(G) \to \mathcal{L}_2(G), h \in W_2^{2p}$ .

Let  $\mu_{\xi}$  be the distribution in  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$  of problem (10) and  $\mu_{\zeta}$  be the distribution in  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$  of problem (9). From theorem 2 it followers.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Delta$  be an open bounded domain of the class  $A^{(1)}$  with the boundary  $\partial \Delta$ , L be a differential operator determined by equality (7) with smooth coefficients  $a_{\alpha}(x) \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Delta \cup \partial \Delta)$ ,  $\xi(x)$  be a random field satisfying conditions (11) and (12), g(x, u) determined on  $G \times \mathcal{L}_2(G)$  for each u possess derivatives generalized in Sobolev's sense and of order 2p and there exist an operator  $F = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}$  satisfying the relation  $||F|| < \gamma$  where  $\gamma = ||L^{-1}||^{-1}$ .

Then, if for any  $u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$ . and for some C > 0 the energetic inequalities (8) are fulfilled, then  $\mu_{\eta} \sim \mu_{\varsigma}$  and

$$\frac{d\mu_{\eta}}{d\mu_{\varsigma}}(u) = \left|\det(I + L^{-1}(u))\right| \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{1} \int_{G} \lambda\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le p} a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}u + tg(x,u)\right)g(x,u)dsdt + \left(-1\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{G} \lambda\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le p} a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}u + tg(x,u)\right)\sum_{|\alpha| = p} D^{2\alpha}ug(x,u)dxdt\right\}, \quad (13)$$

for  $u \in W_2^p(G)$ .

In the special case when  $\xi(x)$  is a Gaussion random field, whose correlation operator in the scalar product of the space  $W_2^p(G)$  is  $\theta > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu_{\eta}}{d\mu_{\zeta}}(u) &= \left|\det(I+L^{-1}F(u))\right|\exp\big\{-\frac{1}{\theta}\int_{G}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq p}a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}u\cdot g(x,u)dx + \\ &+(-1)^{p+1}\int_{G}\sum_{|\beta|\leq p}a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}u\cdot D^{2\beta}g(x,u)dx(x,u)dx - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\int_{G}\sum_{|\alpha|=p}(D^{\alpha}u)^{2}dx\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Cite application of theorem 3 to theory of prediction and filtration of random fields. Let X be a Hilbert space,  $\xi$  be a random variable with values in  $X, \Phi: X \to R$  be a measurable functional. Let E be some linear space with  $\sigma$ - algebra of its subsets  $\mathfrak{E}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q} = X \to E$  be some linear operator. The problem is in calculation of optimal meansquare estimation  $\Phi^*(\xi)$  of the function  $\Phi$  from the random variable  $\xi$  by observations of  $\mathbb{Q}\xi$ . It is known well that such an estimation is given by the equality

$$\Phi^*(\xi) = E\left\{\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}}\right\},\,$$

where  $\mathfrak{E}^{\xi}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\sigma$ - algebra generated by the random element  $\mathbb{Q}\xi$ .

49

Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan

## 50\_\_\_\_\_\_[B.Dochviri,O.Glonti,O.Purtukhia,G.Sokhadze]

Suppose that on the Borel  $\sigma$  -algebra of  $\mathfrak{B}$  space X another random variable  $\eta$ is given such that the distributions  $\mu_{\xi}$  and  $\mu_{\eta}$  are equivalent  $\mu_{\xi} \sim \mu_{\eta}$  and  $\rho(x) =$  $\frac{d\mu_{\xi}}{dt}(x).$ 

 $\overline{d\mu_{\eta}}^{(x)}$ . Lemma. It  $\Phi(x)$  is a bounded  $\mu_{\eta}$  -measurable function, then the following formula is valid

$$\Phi^*(\xi) = \left. \frac{E\left\{ \Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi} \right\}}{E\left\{ \rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi} \right\}} \right|_{\eta=\xi}.$$
(14)

**Proof.** By definition of conditional mean, for any measurable bounded function h on E we have

$$E\Phi(\xi)h(\mathbb{Q}\xi) = E\left\{E\left[\Phi(\xi)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right]\right\}h(\mathbb{Q}\xi),$$

hence

$$E\Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)h(\mathbb{Q}\eta) = E\left\{E\left[\Phi(\xi)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right]_{\xi=\eta}\right\}E\{\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}h(\mathbb{Q}\eta),$$

but as

$$E\Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)h(\mathbb{Q}\xi) = E\left\{E\left[\Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right]\right\}h(\mathbb{Q}\eta)$$

then because of arbitrariness of h(x) we get

$$E\left[\Phi(\xi)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right]_{\xi=\eta}E\left\{\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right\}=E\left[\Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\xi}\right]$$

hence we get (14).

1

We can simplify formula (14) if  $\eta$  is a Gaussian variable in X and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a continuous linear mapping of the space X in X. For that we represent  $\eta$  in the form  $\eta = \eta^* + \overline{\eta}$  , where  $\eta^* = E\{\eta/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\}$  is an optimal in the mean square sense linear prediction of Gaussian random variable  $\eta$  by observations  $\mathbb{Q}\eta$ , while  $\overline{\eta}$  is a Gaussian variable independent of  $\mathfrak{E}^{\eta}_{\mathbb{O}}$ . Then from (14) we can write

$$\Phi^{*}(\xi) = \frac{E\left\{\Phi(\eta)\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\right\}}{E\left\{\rho(\eta)/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\right\}}\bigg|_{\eta=\xi} = \frac{E\left\{\Phi(\eta^{*}+\overline{\eta})\rho(\eta^{*}+\overline{\eta})/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\right\}}{E\left\{\rho(\eta^{*}+\overline{\eta})/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\right\}}\bigg|_{\eta=\xi} = \frac{E\left\{\Phi(x+\overline{\eta})\rho(x+\overline{\eta})\right\}}{E\left\{\rho(x+\overline{\eta})\right\}}\bigg|_{x=\eta^{*}=E\left\{\eta/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\right\},\eta=\xi},$$
(15)

where (unconditional) mean value is taken with respect to  $\overline{\eta}$  and is substitutied by turns  $x = \eta^* = E\{\eta/\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\eta}\}$  and  $\eta = \xi$  (this last substitution is assumed to be a substitution of observation  $\xi$ ).

Let the solution of problem (10)-  $\eta(x)$  be observed in some subdomain  $G_1 \subset G$ . It is required to find an optimal in the meanquadratic sense estimation of the functional  $\Phi$  from the solution of  $\eta(x)$  at the point  $x = x_0 \in G_2 = G - G_1$ .

To this end, in addition to problem (10) we consider the linear problem (9)

$$L\varsigma(x) = \xi(x), \quad \varsigma \in \overline{W}_2^{\alpha}(\partial G).$$

Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan \_\_\_\_\_\_ [Radon-Nikodym derivative of solution...]

By combining theorem 3 with the lemma we get

**Theorem 4.** Let in open, bounded domain G of the class  $A^{(1)}$  with the boundary  $\partial G$  we consider a partial equation with boundary conditions (10) in which the coefficients of the operator L are sufficiently smooth,  $a_{\alpha}(x) \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Delta \cup \partial \Delta), \ \xi(x)$  is a Gaussian random field whose correlation operator in the scalar product of the space  $W_2^p(G)$  is  $\theta I, \theta > 0; g(x, u)$  is a function determined on  $G \times \mathcal{L}_2(G)$  and possessing for each x generalized in the Sobolev sense derivatives of order 2p, the operators  $F = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}$ satisfy the relation  $||F|| < \gamma$ , where  $\gamma = ||L^{-1}||^{-1}$ . Then if for any  $u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$ and some C > 0 the energetic inequalities (8) are fulfilled, then optimal prediction  $\Phi^*(\eta)(x_0)$  is given by the formula:

$$\begin{split} \Phi^*(\eta)(x_0) &= \left\{ E\Phi(z(x_0) + \overline{v}(x_0)) \left| \det(I + L^{-1}F(z(x) + \overline{v}(x))) \right| \times \right. \\ &\times \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_G \sum_{|\alpha| \le p} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha(z + \overline{v}(x)g(x, z(x) + \overline{v}(x))dx + \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1} \int_G \sum_{|\beta| \le p} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha(z(x) + \overline{v}(x)) \cdot D^{2\beta}g(x, z(x) + \overline{v}(x))dx - \right. \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_G \sum_{|\alpha| = p} (D^\alpha(z(x) + \overline{v}(x)))^2 dx \right\} \cdot \left\{ E \left| \det(I + L^{-1}F(z(x) + \overline{v}(x))) \right| \times \\ &\times \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_G \sum_{|\alpha| \le p} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha(z + \overline{v}(x)g(x, z(x) + \overline{v}(x))dx + \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1} \int_G \sum_{|\beta| \le p} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha(z(x) + \overline{v}(x)) \cdot D^{2\beta}g(x, z(x) + \overline{v}(x))dx - \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_G \sum_{|\alpha| = p} (D^\alpha(z(x) + \overline{v}(x)))^2 dx \right\}^{-1} \right|_{n=\ell} . \end{split}$$

#### References

[1]. Daletskii Yu. L., Sokhadze G. Absolute Continuity of Smooth Measures. Functional Analysis and Its Applications. 1988, vol. 22, No. 2/April, pp. 149-150.

[2]. Belopolskava Ia. I., Daletskii Yu. L. Stochastic Equations and Differential Geometry. Springer. 1990. 280 p.

[3].Berezanskii Ju. M. Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1968, vol 17, 809 p.

[4].Daletskii Yu. L., Shatashvili A. D. On Optimal Prediction of Random Variables Nonlinearly related to Gaussian. Theory of Random Processes, 1975, Issue 3, pp. 30-33.

51

52\_\_\_\_\_[B.Dochviri,O.Glonti,O.Purtukhia,G.Sokhadze]

### Besarion Dochviri, Omar Glonti, Omar Purtukhia,

I.Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

### Grigol Sokhadze

Applied Mathematical Institute of TSU, Tbilisi, Georgia Tel.: (99412) 539-47-20 (off.).

Received February 26, 2014; Revised April 24, 2014.