

QULIYEV C.X.

STRONG GENERALIZED SOLVABILITY OF FIRST BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR GILBARG-SERRIN EQUATION

Abstract

In present paper the class of elliptic equations of the second order of Gilbarg-Serrin's type was considered. The strong generalized solvability of first boundary value problem for such equations is proved.

Let D be bounded domain in n -dimensional Euclidian space E_n of points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 3$, $0 \in D$, and ∂D be boundary of D . Consider in D Gilbarg-Serrin's operator

$$L = \Delta + \mu(r) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

where $r = |x|$, $b_1 \leq \mu(r) \leq b_2$, $b_1 > -1$, $b_2 < \infty$.

It is easy to see, that operator L is uniformly elliptic in domain D . However, if $\inf_{x \in D} \mu(|x|) > n - 2$, then solutions of equation $Lu = 0$ find the series of qualitative new properties extrinsic, for example, for solutions of Laplace equation (see [1-2]). The aim of present paper is to prove the uniqueness of strongly generalized Dirichlet problem for class of equations of Gilbarg-Serrin's type. It must be noted, that in case if $\mu \equiv const$ the analogous results was obtained in [3-5]. The paper [6] could be used as reference for the question on weak solvability of first boundary value problem for considered equations.

Now we will describe some denotations and definitions. By $L_{2,\gamma}(D)$, $W_{2,\gamma}^1(D)$ and $W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ we will denote Banach spaces of functions, giving on D , for which final norms are

$$\|u\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(D)} = \left(\int_D r^{\gamma-2} u^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^1(D)} = \left(\int_D (r^{\gamma-2} u^2 + r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)} = \left(\int_D \left(r^{\gamma-2} u^2 + r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 + r^{\gamma+2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \right) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here ∇u is vector of gradient of function $u(x)$. By $\overline{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ we will denote subspace $W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ the dense set in which are all infinitively differential in \overline{D} functions, which vanishes on ∂D , the corresponding norms of which are finite. For $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$. Everywhere further under the record $c(\dots)$ we will

understand that constant c , which depends only on content of brackets. Suppose for function $\mu(r)$ following conditions

$$b_0 \leq \mu(r) \leq b_2; \quad b_0 > 2n - 3, \quad b_2 < \infty, \quad (1)$$

$$|\mu'(r)| \leq \frac{c_1}{r}; \quad -\frac{c_2}{r^2} \leq \mu''(r) \leq 0; \quad r \in (0, \text{diam } D). \quad (2)$$

We will use following lemma, that what was proved in [6].

Lemma 1. Let D be bounded domain in E_n , in which the coefficients of operator L satisfying to conditions (1)-(2) are determined. Then if $\gamma = 1 - n$, then for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(D) \cap C_0^\infty(D)$ the following estimation is valid

$$-\int_D r^\gamma u L u dx \geq C_3(\mu) \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2. If conditions (1)-(2) holds with respect to coefficients of operator L , $\gamma = 1 - n$, then for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(D) \cap C_0^\infty(D)$ inequality

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)} \leq C_4(n, \mu) \|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} \quad (4)$$

holds.

Proof. We fix k , $1 \leq k \leq n$ and suppose in estimation (3) ru_k instead of function u . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla(ru_k)|^2 dx &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma x_i (u_k^2)_{,i} dx + \\ &+ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ik}^2 dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx - (\gamma + n) \sum_{k=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx + \\ &+ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ik}^2 dx = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ik}^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

So as $\gamma = 1 - n$. From the other side,

$$L(ru_k) = rLu_k + u_k Lr + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} r_i u_{kj} \quad (6)$$

where $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\mu(r)x_i x_j}{r^2}$. Here δ_{ij} is Kroneker's symbol. Moreover, $Lr = \frac{n-1}{r}$,

$2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} r_i u_{kj} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ki} + 2\mu(r) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j u_{kj}}{r}$. Thus, from (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\int_D r^{\gamma+1} u_k L(ru_k) dx = -\int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_k Lu_k dx - \\ &- \int_D r^{\gamma+1} u_k \frac{n-1}{r} u_k dx - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} u_k \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ki} dx - \\ &- 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} u_k \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ki} \mu(r) dx = i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_n. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is easy to see, that

$$i_2 = -(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx,$$

$$i_3 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D (r^\gamma x_i) \mu_k^2 dx = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma-2} x_i^2 u_k^2 dx + \\ + n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx = \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx,$$

i.e.

$$i_2 + i_3 = (\gamma + 1) \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx = -n \int_D r^\gamma u_k^2 dx \leq 0 \quad (8)$$

We have further

$$i_4 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D (r^\gamma x_i \mu(r))_i u_k^2 dx = \int_D r^\gamma \mu(r) u_k^2 dx + \\ + \int_D r^{\gamma+1} \mu'(r) u_k^2 dx. \quad (9)$$

Finally,

$$i_1 = - \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_k (Lu)_k dx + \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_k \mu'(r) \frac{x_k}{r} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} u_{ij} dx + \\ + 2 \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_k \mu(r) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i u_{ik}}{r^2} dx - 2 \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_k \mu(r) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{x_i x_j}{r^4} u_{ij} dx = \\ = j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4. \quad (10)$$

But from the other side, according to conditions (1)-(2)

$$j_1 = \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{kk} Lu dx + (\gamma + 2) \int_D r^\gamma x_k u_k Lu dx \leq \\ \leq \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{kk} Lu dx + |\gamma + 2| \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| \cdot |Lu| dx, \quad (11)$$

$$j_2 \leq C_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| \cdot |u_{ij}| dx, \quad (12)$$

$$j_3 \leq 2b_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| \cdot |u_{ik}| dx, \quad (13)$$

$$j_4 \leq 2b_2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| \cdot |u_{ij}| dx. \quad (14)$$

Taking account of (5)-(9) and (11)-(14) in (10), we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$C_3 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ii} Lu dx + \\ + (c_1 + b_2) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^\gamma u_i^2 dx + |\gamma + 2| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_i| |Lu| dx + \\ + c_1 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| |u_{ij}| dx + 2b_2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| |u_{ik}| dx + \\ + 2b_2 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+1} |u_k| |u_{ij}| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ii}^2 dx + \\ + \frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx + (c_1 + b_2) c_6 \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx + \\ + \frac{n-3}{2} \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{(n-3)n}{2} \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{c_1 \varepsilon n}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx + \frac{c_1 n^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx + \\
& + \frac{2b_2 \varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx + \frac{2b_2 n}{2\varepsilon} \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx + \\
& + \frac{2b_2 \varepsilon n}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx + \frac{2b_2 n^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \\
& \leq \varepsilon c_7 (\mu, n) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx + c_8 (\varepsilon, \mu, n) \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose and fix $\varepsilon = \frac{c_3}{2c_7}$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D r^{\gamma+2} u_{ij}^2 dx \leq c_9 (\mu, n) \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx \quad (15)$$

From the other side from (3) it follows that for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ it is valid inequality

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \int_D r^{\gamma-2} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx \geq c_3 \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, according to [6]

$$\int_D r^{\gamma-2} u^2 dx \leq c_{10} (\mu, n) \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (17)$$

Fix now $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{c_3}{c_{10}}$. Then from (16) and (17) we have

$$\int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq c_{11} (\mu, n) \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx \quad (18)$$

Thus, from (15), (17) and (18) follows the requested estimation (4). Lemma is proved.

For $x^0 \in E_n$ and $R > 0$ we denote by $Q_R^{x^0}$ the ball $\{x: |x - x^0| < R\}$.

Lemma 3. Let $\bar{Q}_R^{x^0} \subset D$, $\gamma = 1 - n$ and with respect to coefficients of operator L conditions (1)-(2) hold. Then for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_R^{x^0}) \cap C^\infty(\bar{Q}_R^{x^0})$ for any $r \in (0, R)$ inequality

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_r^{x^0})} \leq C_{12}(R, \mu, n) \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right)^{-2} \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(Q_R^{x^0})} + \|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_R^{x^0})} \right). \quad (19)$$

is valid.

Proof. Let $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_R^{x^0}) \cap C^\infty(\bar{Q}_R^{x^0})$. Consider auxiliary function $\eta(x)$ such that $\eta(x) = 1$ for $x \in Q_r^{x^0}$, $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \notin Q_{\frac{R+r}{2}}^{x^0}$, $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$, $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(Q_R^{x^0})$. For this

we could assume, that for $i, j = \overline{1, n}$

$$|\eta_i| \leq \frac{C_{13}(n)}{R-r}, \quad |\eta_{ij}| \leq \frac{C_{13}}{(R-r)^2}. \quad (20)$$

Suppose $v(x) = u(x) \cdot \eta(x)$. It is clear, that $v(x) \in C_0^\infty(Q_R^{x^0}) \cap W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_R^{x^0})$. Therefore for this function we can apply estimation (4). We have, taking account of (20)

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_r^0)}^2 \leq c_{14}(n, \mu) \left(\int_{\mathcal{Q}_r^0} (Lu)^2 |x|^{\gamma+2} dx + \frac{c_{15}(n)}{(R-r)^4} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_r^0} u^2 |x|^{\gamma-2} dx + \frac{c_{16}(n, \mu)}{(R-r)^2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_r^0} |x|^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx \right).$$

From here immediately follows the requested estimate (19). Lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. *If conditions of previous lemma are valid, then for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_R^0) \cap C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{Q}_R^0})$ for any $r \in (0, R)$ following inequality is true*

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_r^0)} \leq c_{17}(R, r, n, \mu) \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_R^0)} + \|u\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_R^0)} \right). \quad (21)$$

Proof. Suppose $A = \sup_{r \in (0, R)} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_r^0)} \right\}$. Then there exists

R_1 , $0 < R_1 < R$ such that

$$A \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_{R_1}^0)}.$$

According to previous lemma for any $R_2, R_1 < R_2 < R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 C_{18}(\mu, n) R_2^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \times \\ &\times \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} + \|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} \right) \leq 2C_{18} R_2^{-2} \times \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \left[\|Lu\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} + \|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying now interpolational inequality, we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq 2C_{18} R_2^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \left[\|Lu\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_{2,r}^2(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} + C_{19}(\varepsilon, n) \|u\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} \right] \leq \\ &\leq 2C_{18} R_2^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_2}{R}\right)^{-2} \varepsilon A + \\ &+ 2C_{18} R_2^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \|Lu\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} + \\ &+ 2C_{18} C_{19} R_2^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{-2} \|u\|_{L_{2,r+2}(\mathcal{Q}_{R_2}^0)} \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\delta = 1 - \frac{R_1}{R}$ and choose $R_2 \in (R_1, R)$ such, that $1 - \frac{R_2}{R} = \frac{\delta}{2}$. Now we fix this

R_2 . It is easy to see, that $\frac{\delta}{2} < 1 - \frac{R_1}{R_2} < \delta$. Choose now ε such that

$$32C_{18} R_2^{-2} \delta^{-2} \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Taking account of fact that $C_{19} = \frac{C_{20}(n)}{\varepsilon}$, from (22) we obtain estimation (21). Lemma is proved.

Corollary. Let for $\rho > 0$ $D_\rho = \{x: x \in D, \text{dist}(x, \partial D) > \rho\}$. Then if conditions of lemma are satisfied, then for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ for any sufficiently small ρ the following estimation is valid

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D_\rho)} \leq C_{21}(D, \rho, \mu, n) \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} + \|u\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} \right).$$

Lemma 5. Let conditions (1)-(2) holds with respect to coefficients of operator L and $\gamma = 1 - n$. Then for any function $u(x) \in \tilde{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ for any sufficiently small ρ inequality holds

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D \setminus D_\rho)} \leq C_{22}(D, \rho, \mu, n) \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} + \|u\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} \right). \quad (23)$$

Proof. It is enough to prove estimation (23) for functions $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(D) \cap C^\infty(\bar{D})$, $u|_{\partial D} = 0$. We will use method of local straightening of boundary (see [7]). Now let $x^0 \in \partial D$ and ρ is fix enough small number. So as boundary ∂D belongs to class C^2 , then there exists coordinates transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$ such, that if y^0 is image of point x^0 , then in some neighbourhood of y^0 the image of boundary is determined by equation $y_n = 0$. Denote by $\hat{Q}_{2\rho}^{x^0}$ the subset D , which for such mapping will maps to the half ball $Q_{2\rho,+}^{y^0} = \{y: y \in Q_{2\rho}^{y^0}, y_n > 0\}$. For this the operator L will transforms to the some elliptic operator \tilde{L} of second order with continuous coefficients, so as $x^0 \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{u}(y)$ be image of $u(x)$ for such mapping. We continue function $\tilde{u}(y)$ by odd order through hyperplane $y_n = 0$ into half ball $Q_{2\rho}^{y^0} \setminus Q_{2\rho,+}^{y^0}$ and denote obtained continuation again by $\tilde{u}(y)$. According to previous lemma

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(Q_{2\rho}^{y^0})} \leq C_{17} \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(Q_{2\rho}^{y^0})} + \|u\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(Q_{2\rho}^{y^0})} \right).$$

Taking into account fact, that function $\tilde{u}(y)$ continues by odd order, returning to variables x and covering $\overline{D \setminus D_\rho}$ by sets $\hat{Q}_{2\rho}^{x^0}$, we obtain estimation (23). Lemma is proved.

Corollary. If conditions of lemma holds, then for any function $u(x) \in \tilde{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ inequality

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)} \leq C_{23}(D, \mu, n) \left(\|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} + \|u\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} \right)$$

is true.

Theorem 1. Let with respect to coefficients of operator L conditions (1)-(2) satisfies. Then for any function $u(x) \in \tilde{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ the following estimation holds

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(D)} \leq C_{24}(D, \mu, n) \|Lu\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)}. \quad (24)$$

Proof. As it follows from [6], the inequality (3) is valid for any function $u(x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(D) \cap C^\infty(\bar{D})$, $u|_{\partial D} = 0$. From (3) for any $\sigma > 0$ we have

$$\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_D r^{\gamma-2} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx \geq C_3 \int_D r^\gamma |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (25)$$

Taking into account (17), from (25) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma} \int_D r^{\gamma+2} (Lu)^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{c_3}{c_{10}} - \frac{\sigma}{2} \right) \int_D r^{\gamma-2} u^2 dx. \quad (26)$$

Choosing now $\sigma = \frac{c_3}{c_{10}}$ and taking into account that $r^{\gamma-2} \geq (\text{diam}D)^{-4} r^{\gamma+2}$, from corollary of lemma 5 and (26) we obtain requested estimation (24). Theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. *Let in domain D are determined coefficients of operator L , satisfying to conditions (1)-(2) and $\gamma = 1 - n$. Then first boundary value problem*

$$Lu = f, \quad x \in D; \quad u \in \dot{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D),$$

is uniquely solvable in space $\dot{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)$ for any $f \in L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)$. For this for the solution of $u(x)$ the following estimation is valid

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_{2,\gamma}^2(D)} \leq C_{24} \|f\|_{L_{2,\gamma+2}(D)} \quad (27)$$

Proof. For proof of this theorem it is enough to apply standard procedure (see, for example, [3]). Using theorem 1 and estimation (27) becomes a corollary of inequality (24). As a conclusion, author express gratitude for its supervisor doctor of physical-mathematical sciences, professor I.T.Mamedov for statement of the problem and useful discussions.

References

- [1]. Ладыженская О.А., Уральцева Н.Н. *Линейные и квазилинейные уравнения эллиптического типа*. М., Наука, 1973, 576 с.
- [2]. Gilbarg D., Trudinger N.S. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag, N.-Y., 1977, 401 p.
- [3]. Bass R.F. *The Dirichlet problem for radially homogeneous elliptic operators*. Trans. of AMS, 1990, v.320, №2, p.593-614.
- [4]. Мамедов И.Т., Мамгдиев Т.Р. *Коэрцитивная оценка для эллиптических операторов 2-го порядка с однородными коэффициентами*. В сб. трудов I Республиканской конференции по математике и механике, Баку, "Элм", 1995, ч.II, с.140-148.
- [5]. Мамедов И.Т. *Об устранимых множествах решений задачи Дирихле для эллиптических уравнений 2-го порядка с разрывными коэффициентами*. Труды ИММ АН Азербайджана, 1998, т. VIII(XIV), с.137-149.
- [6]. Quliyev C.X. *Weak solvability of the first boundary value problem for Gilbarg-Serrin equation*. Proceedings of Inst. Math. Mech. Acad. Sci. Azerb., 1999, v.X(XVIII), p.132-137.
- [7]. Берс Л., Джон Ф., Шехтер М. *Уравнения с частными производными*. М., Мир, 1996, 351 с.

Quliyev C.X.

Nakhichevan State University named after Yu.G. Mamedaliyev.

1, A.Aliyev str., 373630, Nakhichevan-city, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: 5-23-66.

Received September 16, 1999; Revised December 22, 1999.

Translated by Panarina V.K.