

SAFAROVA R.G.

SOME QUESTIONS OF RIESZ SUMMABILITY OF MULTIPLE FOURIER INTEGRALS

Abstract

In this paper we study even Riesz summability of multiple Fourier integrals in Euclidean space. We have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $f(x) \in L_1(R^k)$  have packaged support space  $R^k$ . If  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq C \frac{|h|^\alpha}{\min[r^\sigma(x), r^\sigma(x+h)]} \quad (\alpha > 0),$$

where  $r(x) = \inf_{y \in \Phi} |x - y|$ ,  $x, y, h \in R^k$ , then by  $\delta = p + (k-1)/2 + \eta$  ( $0 < \eta < \alpha/2$ ) complete equation

$$\tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) - 2^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

evenly relatively  $x \in G$ , on every packaged  $G \subset R^k$ , where  $G \cap (\Phi \cup N(\Phi)) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in L_1(R^k)$  be a periodic function with period  $2\pi$  in each variable.

Fourier series of the function  $f(x)$  is written as

$$f(x) \sim \sum a_{n_1 \dots n_k} e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$a_{n_1 \dots n_k} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)}$$

is a Fourier coefficient of this function.

For  $\delta \geq 0$  it is determined the sum

$$S_k^\delta(x, f) = \sum_{v \leq R^2} \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{R^2}\right)^\delta a_{n_1 \dots n_k} e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)} \quad (2)$$

being the Riesz mean of order  $\delta$  series (1), where  $v^2 = n_1^2 + \dots + n_k^2$ . If there exists a finite limit  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S_R^\delta(x, f)$  then it is said that series (1) is summed up by a Riesz method at the point  $x \in R^k$ .

Bohner [1] has proved the following representation for the Riesz mean (2) of Fourier series of the function  $f(x)$

$$S_R^\delta(x, f) = 2^\delta \Gamma(\delta + 1) R^k \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} f_x(t) V_{\delta+k/2}(tR) dt, \quad (3)$$

where  $V_\nu(x) = \frac{J_\nu(x)}{x^\nu}$ ,  $J_\nu(x)$  is a Bessel function and

$$f_x(t) = \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2(\pi)^{k/2}} \int_{\Sigma} f(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_k + t\xi_k) d\Sigma_\xi$$

is a spherical mean of the mean function  $f(x)$  in a sphere with a center at the point  $x \in R^k$  and radius  $t$ ,  $\Sigma: \xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_k^2 = 1$  is a unique sphere.

K. Chandrasekharan [2] has generalized formula (3) as follows:

$$S_R^\delta(x, f) = \frac{2^{\delta-p} \Gamma(\delta+1) \Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma(p+k/2)} R^{k+2p} \int_0^\infty t^{k+2p-1} f_p(x, t) V_{\delta+k/2+p}(tR) dt, \quad (4)$$

where  $\delta > h + \frac{k-1}{2}$ ,  $p > 0$ ,  $h$  is the greatest member less than  $p$

$$f_p(x, t) = \frac{2}{B(p, k/2) t^{2p+k-2}} \int_0^t (t^2 - s^2)^{p-1} s^{k-1} f(x, s) ds$$

is a spherical mean of order  $p$  of the function  $f(x) \in L_1(R^k)$ ,  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  is a Beta function.

In view of ([2], p.217)

$$R^{k+2p} \int_0^\infty t^{k+2p-1} V_{\delta+p+k/2}(tR) dt = \frac{\Gamma(p+k/2)}{2^{\delta-p-k/2+1} \Gamma(\delta+1)}$$

we find the representation

$$\begin{aligned} S_R^\delta(x, f) - 2^{(k-2)/2} \Gamma(k/2) f(x) &= \\ &= \frac{2^{\delta-p} \Gamma(\delta+1) \Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma(p+k/2)} R^{k+2p} \int_0^\infty t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x, t) - f(x)] V_{\delta+k/2+p}(tR) dt. \end{aligned}$$

In paper [2] Chandrasekharan has studied the Riesz summability of multiple Fourier series.

In particular, Chandrasekharan has proved that if

$$f_p(x, t) - f(x) = O(t^\alpha), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

then for  $\delta = p + \frac{k-1}{2} + \beta$  ( $\beta > 0$ )

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S_R^\delta(x, f) = 2^{(k-2)/2} \Gamma(k/2) f(x)$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in R^k$ .

In the present paper this problem is studied for multiple Fourier integrals in a more general form.

Let  $f(x) \in L_1(R^k)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \int_{R^k} \hat{f}(u) e^{i(x,u)} du \quad (5)$$

be its expansion in Fourier integral, where

$$\hat{f}(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_{R^k} f(x) e^{-i(x,u)} dx, \quad x \in R^k, \quad u \in R^k$$

is its Fourier transformation.

$$\tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) = \int_{|u| < R} \left(1 - \frac{|u|^2}{R^2}\right) \hat{f}(u) e^{i(x,u)} du \quad (6)$$

is the Riesz's spherical mean of order  $\delta \geq 0$  for Fourier integral (5).

Note that representations (3) and (4) are valid with respect to the Riesz spherical mean for Fourier's integral.

The following problem is set.

Let the conditions of K. Chandrasekhan hold for the function  $f(x) \in L_1(R^k)$  everywhere in  $R^k$ , except the points of some twice differentiable hypersurface  $\Phi \subset R^k$ . Then what can we say about the domain of uniform Riesz summability of Fourier's multiple integrals in the space  $R^k$ .

Denote by  $N(\Phi)$  a set of curvature centers of rounding points of the hypersurface  $\Phi$ . It holds

**Theorem.** Let  $f(x) \in L_1(R^k)$  have a compact support in  $R^k$ . If for  $0 < \sigma < 1$  it is fulfilled the inequality

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq C \frac{|h|^\alpha}{\min[r^\sigma(x), r^\sigma(x+h)]} \quad (\alpha > 0),$$

where  $r(x) = \inf_{y \in \Phi} |x - y|$ ,  $x, y, h \in R^k$ , then for  $\delta = p + \frac{k-1}{2} + \eta$  ( $0 < \eta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ ) it holds the equality

$$\tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) - 2^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

uniform with respect to  $x \in G$ , on any compact  $G \subset R^k$ , having no generic points with the set  $\Phi \cup N(\Phi)$ .

We use the method developed in papers [3] and [5] to prove the theorem.

We prove the following lemmas beforehand.

Let  $\Phi \subset R^k$  be a twice continuously-differentiable compact hypersurface.  $\vec{r}(u) = \vec{r}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1})$  is a radius-vector of hypersurface.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $A \in \Phi$  be a point of a hypersurface. If  $dF(A) = 0$  and  $d^2F(A) = 0$ , where  $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}) = |\vec{r}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1})|^2$ , then the point  $A \in \Phi$  is a rounding point of the hypersurface  $\Phi$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$dF(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial F(A)}{\partial u_j} du_j = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_j}, \vec{r} \right) \partial u_j, \quad (7)$$

$$d^2F(A) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial u_j \partial u_i}, \vec{r} \right) du_j du_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_j}, \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_i} \right) du_j du_i. \quad (8)$$

Assume that  $dF(A) = 0$  and  $d^2F(A) = 0$  simultaneously. Then it follows from (7), that  $\frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_j} \perp \vec{r}$ , i.e.  $\vec{r} \perp Q$ , where  $Q$  is a tangent plane to the hypersurface  $\Phi$  at the

point  $A \in \Phi$ . As  $\vec{m} \perp Q$ , where  $\vec{m}$  is a normal to the hypersurface at the point  $A$ , hence it follows that  $\vec{r} = \lambda \vec{m}$ . Taking this into account we find from (8):

$$\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial u_j \partial u_i}, \vec{m} \right) du_j du_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_j}, \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_i} \right) du_j du_i = 0. \quad (9)$$

In view of

$$B(u, du) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial u_j \partial u_i}, \vec{m} \right) du_j du_i, \quad G(u, du) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_j}, \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial u_i} \right) du_j du_i,$$

are the second and first quadratic forms respectively, we find from (9) that

$$K_N = \frac{B(u, du)}{G(u, du)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (10)$$

i.e. at the point  $A$  on the hypersurface in all directions a normal curvature has the same value. This means that the point  $A \in \Phi$  is a rounding point of the hypersurface  $\Phi \subset R^k$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $A \in (\Phi \cap C_\rho)$ , where  $C_\rho$  is a sphere with a radius  $\rho$  and with a center at the point  $x_0 \in R^k$  and let  $dF(A) = 0$  and  $d^2F(A) = 0$ . In this case the curvature centers of the hypersurface  $\Phi$  and the sphere  $C_\rho$  at the point  $A$  coincide.

**Proof.** It follows from lemma 1 that the point  $A$  is a rounding point of the hypersurface  $\Phi$ . At this point the equality (10) holds. Consequently,  $\lambda = -R_N$ , where  $R_N$  is a normal curvature radius of the hypersurface at the point  $A$ . Hence it follows

$$\bar{r}(u) = -R_N \bar{m} \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{r}(u)| = R_N.$$

In other words,  $\rho = R_N$ . This means that the curvature and curvature radius of the hypersurface  $\Phi$  and the sphere  $C_\rho$  at the point  $A$  coincide.

Denote by  $\bar{r}(s)$  a radius-vector of arbitrary curve arranged on the hypersurface  $\Phi$  and passing through the considered points, where  $s$  is a natural parameter of this curve. It is obvious that  $F(s) = |\bar{r}(s)|^2$  is a twice-differentiable function. Assume that the function  $F(s)$  satisfies the conditions of lemma 2-5 of paper [3] by E.M. Nikishin and G.I. Osmanov. By virtue of these lemmas there exists only the finite number of points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  such that  $F'(s) = 0$ . Otherwise, the point  $x_0$  will be the curvature point center of rounding points of the hypersurface  $\Phi$ . But  $x_0 \notin N(\Phi)$ . The lemma is proved.

Suppose  $\rho_j = |\bar{r}(s_j)|$  and  $\gamma(\rho) = \min_j |\rho - \rho_j|$ .

Denote by  $\Lambda(\rho, \omega)$  the distance from the point of the sphere  $C_\rho$  with a radius  $\rho$  and center at the point  $x_0 \notin N(\Phi)$  up to the hypersurface  $\Phi$ .

**Lemma 3.** For  $0 < \delta < \rho/3$  it holds the inequality

$$\text{mes}\{\omega \in C_\rho : \Lambda(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} \leq C\delta\rho^{-1} + C\delta\rho^{3/2-k}[\gamma^{-1/2}(\rho - \delta) + \gamma^{-1/2}(\rho + \delta)], \quad (11)$$

where  $C$  doesn't depend on  $\rho$  and  $\delta$ .

**Proof.** The curve lying in hypersurface and passing through the considered point of the hypersurface divide into finite number of curves  $p$ . Assume that  $\Lambda_p(\rho, \omega)$  is the distance from the point  $(\rho, \omega)$  to the curve  $l_p$ . In this case it is obvious that

$$\Lambda(\rho, \omega) = \min_p \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega).$$

Therefore

$$\text{mes}\{\omega : \Lambda(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} \leq \sum_p \text{mes}\{\omega : \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\}. \quad (12)$$

Now estimate  $\rho^{k-1} \text{mes}\{\omega : \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\}$ .

Draw the spheres  $C_{\rho-\delta}$  and  $C_{\rho+\delta}$ . If the hypersurface has no point between the spheres  $C_{\rho-\delta}$  and  $C_{\rho+\delta}$ , then

$$\text{mes}\{\omega : \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} = 0.$$

Otherwise, a set of spherical points  $(\rho, \omega)$ , distant from the curve  $l_p$  not exceeding  $\delta$ , represents a part of the sphere  $C_p$ . The measure of this part of the sphere doesn't exceed the measure of the part of hypersurface hit interior the ring-domain, plus  $c\delta\rho^{k-2}$ . Thus, we have

$$\rho^{k-1} \text{mes}\{\omega: \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} \leq \text{mes}\{\mu \in \Phi: (\rho - \delta)^2 \leq F(u) \leq (\rho + \delta)^2\} + C\delta\rho^{k-2}.$$

On the hand we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}\{\mu \in \Phi: (\rho - \delta)^2 \leq F(u) \leq (\rho + \delta)^2\} \leq \\ \sup \text{mes}\{S: (\rho - \delta)^2 \leq |\bar{r}(s)|^2 \leq (\rho + \delta)^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where supremum is taken along all curves lying on the hypersurface and passing through the considered points.

By virtue of lemma 6 of paper [3] it follows that

$$\text{mes}\{\mu \in \Phi: (\rho - \delta)^2 \leq F(u) \leq (\rho + \delta)^2\} \leq C\delta\rho\{\rho\gamma(\rho - \delta)\}^{-1/2} + \{\rho\gamma(\rho + \delta)\}^{-1/2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\rho^{k-1} \text{mes}\{\omega: \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} \leq C\delta\rho\{\rho\gamma(\rho - \delta)\}^{-1/2} + \{\rho\gamma(\rho + \delta)\}^{-1/2} + C\delta\rho^{k-2}.$$

Hence we find that

$$\text{mes}\{\omega: \Lambda_p(\rho, \omega) \leq \delta\} \leq C\delta\rho^{-1} + C\delta\rho^{k-2}\{\rho\gamma(\rho - \delta)\}^{-1/2} + \{\rho\gamma(\rho + \delta)\}^{-1/2}. \quad (13)$$

The validity of relation (11) follows from (12) and (13).

**Lemma 4.** Let  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $0 < a < \infty$ ,  $\mu = \frac{2}{2\delta - 2p - k + 1}$ . Then the integral

$$\int_0^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^\mu d\rho$$

uniformly converges with regard to  $x$  on any compact  $G \subset R^k$ , having no generic points with the set  $\Phi \cup N(\Phi)$ , where  $\Lambda(x, \rho, \omega)$  is the distance from the points of the sphere with the radius  $\rho$  and center at the point  $x \in G$  to the hypersurface  $\Phi$ .

Since the compactum  $G$  has no generic points with the hypersurface, for any  $x \in G$  at small values  $\rho$ , we have

$$\Lambda(x, \rho, \omega) \geq d, \text{ where } d \in R_+.$$

Consequently, for the proof of lemma 4 it is sufficient to prove the uniform convergence of the integral

$$\int_d^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^\mu d\rho \text{ with respect to } x \in G.$$

Choose the number  $\beta > \frac{\mu}{2} > 1$ , since  $\sigma\beta < 1$ . First estimate the inner integral

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, \rho, \omega)}.$$

Put  $E_n = \left\{ \omega: \frac{\rho}{3^{n+1}} \leq \Lambda(x, \rho, \omega) \leq \frac{\rho}{3^n} \right\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$E_0 = \left\{ \omega: \Lambda(x, \rho, \omega) \geq \frac{\rho}{3} \right\}.$$

Then, by virtue of lemma 3 we have

$$mE_n \leq C \frac{\rho}{3^n} \rho^{-1} + C \frac{\rho}{3^n} \rho^{3/2-k} \left\{ \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (14)$$

$$mE_0 \leq \frac{2\pi^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k/2)}. \quad (15)$$

By virtue of Hölder inequality we have:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma}(x, \rho, \omega)} \leq C \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^{1/\beta}. \quad (16)$$

Considering the relations (14) and (15) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} + \int_{E_0} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} + \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{n\sigma\beta}}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} \left\{ \frac{C}{3^n} + \frac{C}{3^n} \rho^{5/2-k} \left( \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right) \right\} = \\ &= \frac{C}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\rho^{-\sigma\beta}}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} + \frac{1}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \rho^{5/2-k-\sigma\beta} \left( \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right) \right\} = \\ &= \frac{C}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{-\sigma\beta}}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{5/2-k-\sigma\beta}}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \left\{ \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma\beta < 1$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}}$  converges. Taking this into account we

find that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{5/2-k-\sigma\beta}}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \left\{ \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (17)$$

By applying Minkowski's inequality and considering (16) and (17) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_d^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma}(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^{\mu} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu} &\leq \left\{ \int_d^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma\beta}(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^{\mu/\beta} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu} \leq \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_d^a \left( \frac{C}{\rho^{\sigma\beta}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{5/2-k-\sigma\beta}}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \left\{ \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] + \gamma^{-1/2} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right] \right) \right)^{\mu/\beta} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu} \leq \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_d^a \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{\mu\sigma}} \right\}^{\beta/\mu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \left\{ \int_d^a \frac{\rho^{(5/2-k-\sigma\beta)\mu/\beta}}{\gamma^{\mu/2\beta} \left[ \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right]} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu} + \left\{ \int_d^a \frac{\rho^{(5/2-k-\sigma\beta)\mu/\beta}}{\gamma^{\mu/2\beta} \left[ \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \right]} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Making a change of variable by the formula  $\rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) = t$  in the first integral and  $\rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) = t$  in the second integral we find:

$$\left\{ \int_d^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^{\sigma}(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^{\mu} d\rho \right\}^{\beta/\mu} \leq \left\{ \int_d^a \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{\mu\sigma}} \right\}^{\beta/\mu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n(1-\sigma\beta)}} \left\{ \int_{2d/3}^{2a} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma\mu - (5/2-k)\mu/\beta} [\gamma(t)]^{\mu/2\beta}} \right\}^{\beta/\mu}$$

For the function  $\gamma(t)$  we can write the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{[\gamma(t)]^{\mu/2\beta}} \leq \sum_p \frac{1}{|t - \rho_p|^{\mu/2\beta}} = t^{-\mu/2\beta} + \sum_{p, \rho_p \neq 0} \frac{1}{|t - \rho_p|^{\mu/2\beta}}.$$

Since  $\frac{\mu}{2\beta} < 1$ , then  $\int_{2d/3}^{2a} \frac{dt}{|t - \rho_p|^{\mu/2\beta}} \leq \infty$ .

Consequently,  $\int_{2d/3}^{2a} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma\mu+(k-5/2)\mu/\beta} [\gamma(t)]^{\mu/2\beta}} < +\infty$ . Then we conclude that

$$\int_d^a \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, \rho, \omega)} \right)^\mu d\rho < +\infty \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } x \in G, \quad \text{where}$$

$G \cap (\Phi \cup N(\Phi)) = \emptyset$ . The lemma 4 is proved.

Put  $\varphi_x(t) = \frac{f_p(x, t) - f(x)}{t^{\delta-p-k/2+3/2}}$ ,  $\delta = p + \frac{k-1}{2} + \eta$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let the conditions of the theorem be fulfilled. Then for  $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_0^\infty |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt = O(h^{\alpha-2\eta}) \quad (\eta < \alpha/2) \quad \text{is uniform with respect to } x \in G.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\frac{2}{B(p, k/2)t^{2p+k-2}} \int_0^t (t^2 - s^2)^{p-1} s^{k-1} ds = 1$  we have that

$$f_p(x, t) - f(x) = \frac{2}{B(p, k/2)t^{2p+k-2}} \int_0^t (t^2 - s^2)^{p-1} s^{k-1} [f(x, s) - f(x)] ds.$$

It follows from the conditions of the theorem that

$$|f(x, s) - f(x)| \leq Cs^\alpha \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, s, \omega)}.$$

In view of  $\Lambda(x, s, \omega) \geq \Lambda(x, t, \omega)$  for  $s \leq t$  hence we find:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_p(x, t) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{C}{B(p, k/2)t^{2p+k-2}} \int_0^t (t^2 - s^2)^{p-1} s^{k-1} \left( s^\alpha \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, s, \omega)} \right) ds \leq \\ &\leq Ct^\alpha \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t, \omega)} \cdot \frac{2t^{-2p-k+2}}{B(p, k/2)} \int_0^t (t^2 - s^2)^{p-1} s^{k-1} ds = Ct^\alpha \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_0^\infty |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt = \int_0^h |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt + \int_h^\infty |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt = A_1 + A_2. \quad (18)$$

Estimate the integrals  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  separately

$$A_1 = \int_0^h |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt \leq \int_0^h \frac{|f_p(x, t+h) - f(x)|}{(t+h)^{\delta-p-k/2+3/2}} dt + \int_0^h \frac{|f_p(x, t) - f(x)|}{t^{\delta-p-k/2+3/2}} dt = B_1 + B_2.$$

Taking into account  $|f_p(x, t+h) - f(x)| \leq C(t+h)^\alpha \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t+h, \omega)}$  we find for the integral  $B_1$

$$B_1 \leq C \int_0^h (t+h)^{\alpha-\delta+p+(k-3)/2} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t+h, \omega)} \right) dt.$$

By applying Hölder's inequality for  $\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 1$ , where  $\mu = \frac{2}{2\delta - 2p - k + 1}$  and

$\lambda = \frac{2}{1 - 2\delta + 2p + k}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\leq C \left\{ \int_0^h (t+h)^{\frac{2\alpha - 2\delta + 2p + k - 3}{2}\lambda} dt \right\}^{1/\lambda} \cdot \left\{ \int_0^h \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t+h, \omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right\}^{1/\mu} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_0^h (t+h)^{\frac{2\alpha - 2\delta + 2p + k - 3}{2}\lambda} dt \right\}^{1/\lambda} = O(h^{\alpha - 2\eta}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By the same way we prove

$$B_2 = \int_0^h \frac{|f_p(x, t) - f(x)|}{t^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} dt = O(h^{\alpha - 2\eta}). \quad (20)$$

Considering (19) and (20) we find  $A_1 = O(h^{\alpha - 2\eta})$  for  $h \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ , where  $G \cap (\Phi \cup N(\Phi)) = \emptyset$ .

Transform the integral  $A_2$  by the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \int_h^\infty \left| \frac{f_p(x, t+h) - f(x)}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - (k-3)/2}} - \frac{f_p(x, t) - f(x)}{t^{\delta - p - (k-3)/2}} \right| dt \leq \int_h^\infty \frac{|f_p(x, t+h) - f_p(x, t)|}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - (k-3)/2}} dt + \\ &\quad \int_h^\infty |f_p(x, t) - f(x)| \left| \frac{1}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - (k-3)/2}} - \frac{1}{t^{\delta - p - (k-3)/2}} \right| dt = E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the conditions of the theorem we have

$$E_1 \leq Ch^\alpha \int_h^{\rho_0} (t+h)^{-\delta + p + (k-3)/2} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t, \omega)} \right) dt.$$

By applying Hölder's inequality and considering lemma 4, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\leq Ch^\alpha \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} (t+h)^{(-\delta + p + (k-3)/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x, t, \omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\leq Ch^\alpha \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} (t+h)^{(-\delta + p + (k-3)/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} = O(h^{\alpha - 2\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

Now it remains to estimate the integral  $E_2$ .

By viewing that  $f(x)$  has a compact support in  $R_k$ , represent the integral  $E_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \int_h^{\rho_0} |f_p(x, t) - f(x)| \left| \frac{1}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} - \frac{1}{t^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} \right| dt + \\ &\quad + \int_{\rho_0}^\infty |f(x, t)| \left| \frac{1}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} - \frac{1}{t^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} \right| dt = F_1 + F_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{(t+h)^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} - \frac{1}{t^{\delta - p - k/2 + 3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{Ch}{t^{\delta + (5-k-2p)/2}}.$$

Hence it follows that



$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 &\leq Ch \int_h^{\rho_0} \frac{|f_p(x,t) - f(x)|}{t^{\delta+(5-k-2p)/2}} dt \leq Ch \int_h^{\rho_0} t^{\alpha-\delta-(5-k-2p)/2} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right) dt \leq \\
 &\leq Ch \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} t^{(\alpha-\delta-(5-k-2p)/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \leq \\
 &\leq Ch \left( \int_h^{\rho_0} t^{(\alpha-\delta-(5-k-2p)/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} = Ch \cdot h^{\alpha-2\eta-1} = Ch^{\alpha-2\eta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

We have for the integral  $F_2$ :

$$F_2 \leq C \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \frac{h}{t^{\delta+(5-k-2p)/2}} dt = Ch.$$

Thus, we proved that

$$A_2 = O(h^{\alpha-2\eta}) \text{ for } h \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

Then from (18) follows, that

$$\int_0^{\infty} |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt = O(h^{\alpha-2\eta}) \text{ for } h \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ . The lemma is proved.

Now we proved the theorem. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) - 2^{(k-2)/2} \Gamma(k/2) f(x) &= \\
 &= CR^{k+2p} \int_0^{\infty} t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x,t) - f(x)] V_{\delta+p+k/2}(tR) dt = \\
 &= CR^{k+2p} \int_0^{1/R} t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x,t) - f(x)] V_{\delta+p+k/2}(tR) dt + \\
 &+ CR^{k+2p} \int_{1/R}^{\infty} t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x,t) - f(x)] V_{\delta+p+k/2}(tR) dt = M_1 + M_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

First, we estimate the integral  $M_1$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{R}$  we have

$$|V_{\delta+p+k/2}| = \frac{|J_{\delta+p+k/2}(tR)|}{(tR)^{\delta+p+k/2}} = \frac{O((tR)^{\delta+p+k/2})}{(tR)^{\delta+p+k/2}} = O(1).$$

Considering this inequality and applying Hölder's inequality for  $\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 1$  we

find

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 &\leq CR^{k+2p} \int_0^{1/R} t^{\alpha+k+2p-1} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right) dt \leq \\
 &\leq CR^{k+2p} \left( \int_0^{1/R} t^{(\alpha+k+2p-1)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} \left( \int_0^{1/R} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \leq
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq CR^{k+2p} \left( \int_0^{1/R} t^{(\alpha+k+2p-1)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} = CR^{k+2p} \cdot R^{-(\alpha+k+2p-1)/\lambda} = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right) \quad R \rightarrow \infty.$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

To integrate the integral  $M_2$  we use the asymptotic representation of Bessel's function

$$J_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos\left(x - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(x^{-3/2}) \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Viewing this representation, expand the integral  $M_2$  in sum of two integrals as follows

$$M_2 \leq CR^{k+2p} \int_{1/R}^{\infty} t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x,t) - f(x)] \frac{\cos\left(tR - \frac{\pi}{2}(p+\delta+k/2) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{(tR)^{p+\delta+(k+1)/2}} dt + \\ + CR^{k+2p} \int_{1/R}^{\infty} t^{k+2p-1} [f_p(x,t) - f(x)] O\left(\frac{1}{(tR)^{p+\delta+(k+3)/2}}\right) dt = N_1 + N_2.$$

Considering that  $f(x)$  has a compact support in  $R_x$ , we represent the integral  $N_2$  as:

$$N_2 \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \int_{1/R}^{\rho_0} t^{k/2+p-\delta-5/2} |f_p(x,t) - f(x)| dt + \\ + CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} t^{k/2+p-\delta-5/2} |f(x)| dt = T_1 + T_2.$$

Hence we have

$$T_2 \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} t^{k/2+p-\delta-5/2} dt \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{1+\eta}}\right)$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

For the integral  $T_1$  we have

$$T_1 \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \int_{1/R}^{\rho_0} t^{\alpha+p+k/2-\delta-5/2} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right) dt.$$

By virtue of the inequality  $\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 1$  we find

$$T_1 \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \left( \int_{1/R}^{\rho_0} t^{(\alpha+k/2+p-\delta-5/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} \left( \int_{1/R}^{\rho_0} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \leq \\ \leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} \left( \int_{1/R}^{\rho_0} t^{(\alpha+k/2+p-\delta-5/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} = CR^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2} R^{-(\alpha+k/2+p-\delta-5/2+1/\lambda)} = \\ = CR^{-\alpha+\eta} = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right).$$

Consequently,

$$N_2 = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right) \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

It remains to estimate the integral  $N_1$

To this end first of all estimate the integral

$$\begin{aligned} D &= CR^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \left( \int_0^{1/R} t^{k/2+p-\delta-3/2+\alpha} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right) dt \right) \leq \\ &\leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \left( \int_0^{1/R} t^{(\alpha+k/2+p-\delta-3/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} \left( \int_0^{1/R} \left( \int_{\Sigma} \frac{d\omega}{\Lambda^\sigma(x,t,\omega)} \right)^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \leq \\ &\leq CR^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \left( \int_0^{1/R} t^{(\alpha+k/2+p-\delta-3/2)\lambda} dt \right)^{1/\lambda} = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

Considering the last remark instead of the integral  $N_1$  it is sufficient to study the integral

$$N = CR^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{f_p(x,t) - f(x)}{t^{\delta-p-k/2+3/2}} \cos \left[ tR - \frac{\pi}{2} \left( p + \delta + \frac{k}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] dt. \quad (21)$$

Put

$$\psi_x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi_x(t) & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then instead of integral (21) it is sufficient to estimate the integral

$$R^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi_x(t) e^{\pm i t R} dt$$

for any value (+) and (-).

By virtue of lemma 5 for the function  $\psi_x(t)$  we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |\varphi_x(t+h) - \varphi_x(t)| dt = O(h^{\alpha-2\eta}) \text{ for } h \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ , where  $C \cap (\Phi \cup N(\Phi)) = \emptyset$ .

For  $h = \frac{\pi}{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi_x(t) e^{i t R} dt \right| &= \frac{1}{2 \sin \pi/2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty [\psi_x(t+h) - \psi_x(t)] e^{i t R} dt \right| = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left[ \psi_x\left(t + \frac{\pi}{R}\right) - \psi_x(t) \right] e^{i t R} dt \right| = O(R^{-\alpha+2\eta}) \text{ for } R \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

Consequently,

$$R^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi_x(t) e^{\mp i t R} dt \right| = O(R^{k/2+p-\delta-1/2} R^{-\alpha+2\eta}) = O(R^{-\alpha+\eta})$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

So we have proved, that

$$\tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) - 2^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-\eta}}\right) \quad \eta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ .

In particular from this theorem it follows, that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{S}_R^\delta(x, f) = 2^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) f(x)$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in G$ , where  $C \cap (\Phi \cup N(\Phi)) = \emptyset$ . The theorem is proved.

#### References

- [1]. Bochner S. *Summation of multiple Fourier series by spherical means*. Trans. of the Amer. Math. Society, v.40, 1936, p. 175-207.
- [2]. Chandrasekharan K. *On the summation of multiple Fourier series I and II*. Proc. London Math. Soc., v.50, 1948, p.210-229.
- [3]. Никтин Е.М., Османов Г.И. *Об абсолютной сходимости интегралов Фурье на плоскости*. Сибирский матем. журнал, т.17, №6, 1976, с.1332-1345.
- [4]. Османов Г.И. *О равномерной Риссовой суммируемости интеграла Фурье на плоскости*. Диф. уравнения, т. XVI, №2, 1980, с.350-359.
- [5]. Османов Г.И. *Об абсолютной сходимости интеграла Фурье в пространстве  $R_n$* . ДАН Росси, т.323, 1992, с. 838-840.

**Safarova R.G.**

Azerbaijan State Oil Academy.

20, Azadlyg av., 370601, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: 68-26-04.

Received May 26, 1999; Revised September 7, 1999.

Translated by Aliyeva E.T.