

GULIYEV N.A.

## ON ONE GENERALIZED BALLISTIC PROBLEM IN HILBERT SPACE

## Abstract

*In this work the problem on existence and absence of solution of the problems of one generalized ballistic problem for the non-linear abstract differential equation of the second order which has linear general part is studied.*

Let us consider in Hilbert space  $H$  the differential equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is the positive determined self-conjugated operator in  $H$ ,  $f(t, u)$  is the given function.

It's desired to find such a couple  $(u(t), \tau)$  that  $\tau \in (0, T]$ , and  $u(t) \in C^{(2)}([0, T]; H)$  and satisfies the equation (1) in  $[0, T]$  and the following supplementary conditions

$$u(0) = a, \quad (2)$$

$$u(\tau) = b \quad (3)$$

under the condition

$$\int_0^{\tau} \dot{u}^2(t) dt = \mathcal{G}, \quad (4)$$

where  $a, b$  are the given elements from space  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{G} > 0$  is the given number.

Let's denote the energetic space of operator  $A$  as  $H_A$ .

For the first time the problem of (1)-(4) type was formulated and investigated by Shamilov A.Kh.

In the suggested work the existence and absence of the solution of the problem (1)-(4) are established.

First let's consider the following auxiliary corresponding to (1) linear non-homogeneous equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (5)$$

with conditions (2)-(4).

**Theorem 1.** *Let*

- 1)  $A$  be the self-conjugated positive determined operator acting in Hilbert space  $H$  and having the discrete spectrum;
- 2)  $a, b \in H_A$ ;  $a \neq b$ ;
- 3)  $\varphi(t) \in C([0, T]; H)$ ;
- 4) for some  $\xi, \xi \in (0, T)$  it takes place the inequality

$$\left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1}\xi + \|b\|_{H_A} + \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 < \mathcal{G},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the least eigen number of operator  $A$ .

Then the problem (5), (2)-(4), where the conditions (2), (3) are fulfilled even in sense of the norm of space  $H_A$ , has though one solution  $(u(t), \tau): \tau \in (0, T]$   $u(t) \in C^{(2)}([0, \tau]; H)$ . If instead of condition (4) the inequality

$$\left[ \left| b_n - a_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} T} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 > \mathcal{G} \quad (6)$$

is fulfilled, where  $a_n, b_n$  are Fourier  $n$ -th coefficient of elements  $a, b$  by the system of eigen functions  $\{u_n\}$  of operator  $A$ , and  $\lambda_n$  is the corresponding  $n$ -th eigen number of operator  $A$ , then the problem (5), (2)-(4) has not the solution  $(u(t), \tau): u(t) \in C^2([0, \tau], H)$   $\tau \in (0, T]$ .

Theorem 1 is proved by analogy to [3].

**Remark.** Solution (5), (2), (3) under the conditions of Theorem 1 by virtue of [2] can be given by the formula

$$u(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_n \frac{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - t)}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} + b_n \frac{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} t}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} - \int_0^{\tau} G_n(t, s, \tau) \varphi_n(s) ds \right) u_n, \quad (7)$$

where

$$G_n(t, s, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n} Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} \begin{cases} Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} t Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - s) & 0 \leq t \leq s \\ Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - t) Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} s & s \leq t \leq \tau \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$a_n, b_n, \varphi_n(t)$  are Fourier coefficients according to  $a, b$  and  $\varphi(t)$  by the system  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  are eigen numbers of operator  $A$ .

Further, with help of the obtained results for the considered auxiliary problem, the problem (1)-(4) is reduced to the system of operator equations and the method of dependent variables suggested by Shamilov A.Kh. [2] is used.

Let's introduce the following operator

$$(P_0 \varphi)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_n \frac{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - t)}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} + b_n \frac{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} t}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} - \int_0^{\tau} G_n(t, S, \tau) \varphi_n(s) ds \right) u_n, \quad (9)$$

where  $G_n(t, s, \tau)$  is determined by (8).

Let's consider the operator

$$(P_{\tau} \varphi)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t, (P_0 \varphi)(t)). \quad (10)$$

Using (9) and (10), we reduce the problem (1)-(3) to the following operator equation

$$\varphi(t) = (P_{\tau} \varphi)(t). \quad (11)$$

Taking (9) into account in (4) we obtain

$$g_{\tau} \varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^{\tau} \left( \frac{d(P_0 \varphi)(t)}{dt} \right)^2 dt = \mathcal{G}. \quad (12)$$

So we obtain the following system of operator equations equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = (P_{\tau} \varphi)(t) \\ g_{\tau} \varphi = \mathcal{G} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

**Theorem 2.** Let

- 1)  $A$  is the self-conjugated positive determined operator acting in Hilbert space  $H$  and having the discrete spectrum;
- 2)  $a, b \in H_A; a \neq b$ ;

3)  $f: [0, T] \times H \rightarrow H$  is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz condition by the norm  $C([0, T]: H)$ , i.e.  $\forall \bar{u}(t), u(t) \in C([0, T]: H)$ ,  $\forall (t, \bar{u}(t)), (t, u(t)) \in [0, T] \times H$ ,  
 $\|f(t, \bar{u}(t)) - f(t, u(t))\|_C \leq \theta \|\bar{u}(t) - u(t)\|_C$ ;

4) for some  $\xi \in (0, T]$  the inequality

$$\sqrt{g} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1}\xi > 0;$$

5) the inequalities are fulfilled

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\theta T}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} < 1, \quad \frac{\|f(t, (P_0 O)(t))\|}{1 - \theta_0} \leq \frac{\sqrt{g} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1}\xi}{\xi}$$

$$\forall \tau \in (0, T], \text{ where } (P_0 O)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a_n \frac{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + b_n \frac{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}t}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} \right) \mu_n.$$

Then the problem (1)-(4), where the conditions (2) and (3) are fulfilled even in sense of the norm of space  $H_A$ , has though one solution  $(u(t); \tau): u(t) \in C^{(2)}([0, \tau]: H)$ ;  $\tau \in (0, T]$ .

**Proof.** It is known that the problem (1)-(4) is equivalent to the system (13). In order to solve the system (10) first we find the immovable point of operator  $P_\tau \varphi$ .

It is known the problem (5), (2)-(4) has a solution for  $\varphi(x) \in C([0, T]: H)$  by Theorem 1. Also by this theorem  $(P_0 \varphi)(t) \in C^{(2)}([0, T]: H)$ . So  $(P_\tau \varphi)(t) = f(t)$ ,  $((P_0 \varphi)(t))$  also belongs to  $C([0, T]: H)$  by the condition (3) of this theorem. So we obtain

$$P_\tau: C([0, T]: H) \rightarrow C([0, T]: H).$$

The problem (5), (2)-(4) is also solvable by virtue of condition (4) of Theorem 1 for the following  $\varphi(t): \varphi(t) \in C([0, T]: H)$

$$\|\varphi\|_C \leq \frac{\sqrt{g} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1}\xi}{\xi}.$$

Now let's prove that operator  $P_\tau$  is the compressive mapping. We take

$$\forall \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t) \in \left\{ \varphi \in C[0, T]: H \mid \|\varphi\|_C \leq R \right\} = S_R,$$

where  $R$  is some given positive number.

$$(P_\tau \varphi_1)(t) - (P_\tau \varphi_2)(t) = f(t, (P_0 \varphi_1)(t)) - f(t, (P_0 \varphi_2)(t)).$$

Further, by virtue of Lipschitz condition

$$\|(P_\tau \varphi_1)(t) - (P_\tau \varphi_2)(t)\|_C \leq \theta \|(P_0 \varphi_1)(t) - (P_0 \varphi_2)(t)\|_C.$$

In the last inequality using (9) we obtain

$$(P_0 \varphi_1)(t) - (P_0 \varphi_2)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( - \int_0^\tau G_n(t, s, \tau) (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s)) ds \right) \mu_n.$$

Hence using Bunyakovsky inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_0 \varphi_1)(t) - (P_0 \varphi_2)(t)\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( - \int_0^\tau G_n(t, s, \tau) (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s)) ds \right)^2 \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\tau G_n^2(t, s, \tau) ds \int_0^\tau (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s))^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of [2-3] it is valid the inequality

$$|G_n(t, s, \tau)| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}}. \quad (14)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_0 \varphi_1)(t) - (P_0 \varphi_2)(t)\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \right)^2 ds \int_0^{\tau} (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s))^2 ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\tau}{4\lambda_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\tau} (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s))^2 ds = \frac{\tau}{4\lambda_1} \int_0^{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi_{n,1}(s) - \varphi_{n,2}(s))^2 ds = \\ &= \frac{\tau}{4\lambda_1} \int_0^{\tau} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq \frac{\tau}{4\lambda_1} \cdot \tau \max_{[0, \tau]} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_H^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{4\lambda_1} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_{C([0, \tau]; H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|(P_0 \varphi_1)(t) - (P_0 \varphi_2)(t)\|_C \leq \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_C. \quad (15)$$

By virtue of (15) from (13) we obtain

$$\|(P_{\tau} \varphi_1)(t) - (P_{\tau} \varphi_2)(t)\|_C \leq \theta \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_C \leq \frac{\theta T}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_C. \quad (16)$$

From (16) it follows that operator  $P_{\tau}$  is the compressive mapping in  $C([0, T]; H)$  if

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\theta T}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} < 1.$$

Let

$$R = \frac{\sqrt{\vartheta} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1} \xi}{\xi}. \quad (17_0)$$

Then from (5) of this theorem we obtain

$$\frac{\|P_{\tau} O\|_C}{1 - \theta_0} \leq R.$$

Hence

$$\|P_{\tau} O\|_{C([0, \tau]; H)} \leq R(1 - \theta_0). \quad (17)$$

The last inequality shows that the compressing mapping  $P_{\tau}$  represents the sphere  $S_R$  with radius  $R$  into itself. By virtue of compressing mappings principle  $P_{\tau}$  in sphere  $S_R$  has the only immovable point  $\varphi(t)$ . Moreover, the coefficient of compression doesn't depend on  $\tau$ . Consequently, the immovable point  $\varphi$  continuously depends on  $\tau$  on any closed segment  $0 < t_0 \leq \tau \leq T$ .

Let take into account the found immovable point  $\varphi(t; \tau)$  of the operator in the second equation of system (13). Then it takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\tau} \varphi(t; \tau) &= \int_0^{\tau} \dot{u}^2(t) dt = \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L_2([0, \tau])}^2 = \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( -a_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + b_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} - \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s; \tau) ds \right) u_n \right\|_{L_2}^2 = \vartheta. \quad (9') \end{aligned}$$

Now the whole problem has been reduced to the establishment of the sufficient conditions of existence or absence of the solution of the equation (9').

Let's study that  $g, \varphi$  by parameter  $\tau$ . It's clear that  $g, \varphi$  can be represented in the following form:

$$g, \varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (b_n - a_n) \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + b_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} - \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right\}^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3 \left\{ (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + b_n^2 \lambda_n \frac{(Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t))^2}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + \left( \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right)^2 \right\} = 3(u_1 + u_2 + u_3), \quad (18)$$

where

$$u_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau}; \quad u_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n \frac{(Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t))^2}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau};$$

$$u_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right)^2. \quad (19)$$

As far as  $0 < t \leq \tau$

$$\frac{Ch(\tau-t)}{Sh\tau} \leq \frac{Ch\tau}{Sh\tau} = Cth\tau; \quad \frac{Ch t}{Sh\tau} \leq \frac{Ch\tau}{Sh\tau} = Cth\tau. \quad (20)$$

From (19)

$$u_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n Cth^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau \leq Cth^2 \sqrt{\lambda_1}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n = Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tau \|b - a\|_{H_n}^2. \quad (21)$$

From (19)

$$u_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n \frac{(Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau-t))^2}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n \left( \frac{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}(t - \tau/2)}{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau/2} \right)^2.$$

As far as  $0 < t \leq \tau$

$$\frac{Sh(t - \tau/2)}{Ch\tau/2} \leq \frac{Sh\tau/2}{Ch\tau/2} = th\tau/2. \quad (22)$$

From the last one and the fact that  $th\xi \leq 1$  for  $\xi \in (0, \infty)$  we obtain

$$u_2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n th^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau/2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n = \|b\|_{H_n}^2. \quad (23)$$

From (19) using Bunyakovsky inequality by virtue of [2, 3]

$$\left| \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \right| \leq 1 \quad (24)$$

we obtain

$$u_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right)^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\tau} \left( \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \right)^2 ds \cdot \int_0^{\tau} \varphi_n^2(s) ds \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau \cdot \int_0^{\tau} \varphi_n^2(s) ds = \tau \int_0^{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^2(s) ds = \tau \int_0^{\tau} \|\varphi(s)\|_H^2 ds. \quad (25)$$

Taking (21), (23) and (25) into account in (18) we obtain the following

$$g_{\tau} \varphi \leq 3 \left( \|b - a\|_{H_A}^2 Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau + \|b\|_{H_A}^2 + \tau \int_0^{\tau} \|\varphi(s)\|_H^2 ds \right). \quad (26)$$

From the last one it follows that the initial series in (18) uniformly converges. By virtue of its uniform convergence of the series it will be continuous by  $\tau$ . Therefore  $g_{\tau} \varphi$  is continuous by parameter  $\tau \in (0, T]$ .

Now let's consider the equation (9')

$$g_{\tau} \varphi = \vartheta$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (b_n - a_n) \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} + b_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} - \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right\} u_n \right\|_{L_2([0, \tau])}^2 = \vartheta.$$

Let's consider the left-hand side

$$g_{\tau} \varphi = \|V_1 + V_2 + V_3\|^2, \quad (27)$$

$$V_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} u_n; \quad V_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( - \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right) u_n;$$

$$V_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} u_n. \quad (28)$$

From (28) and taking (20) into account

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_1\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n Cth^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau \leq \\ &\leq Cth^2 \sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n = Cth^2 \sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau \|b - a\|_{H_A}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|V_1\| \leq \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth\sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau. \quad (29)$$

From (28) and taking into account (22)

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_2\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \lambda_n \left( \frac{Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}t - Ch\sqrt{\lambda_n}(\tau - t)}{Sh\sqrt{\lambda_n}\tau} \right)^2 \leq \|b\|_{H_A}^2, \\ \|V_2\| &\leq \|b\|_{H_A}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From (28) and taking into account (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_3\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\partial G_n(t, s, \tau)}{\partial t} \varphi_n(s) ds \right)^2 \leq \tau \int_0^{\tau} \|\varphi(s)\|_H^2 ds, \\ \|V_3\| &\leq \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^{\tau} \|\varphi(s)\|_H^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Taking into account (29), (30) and (31) in (27)

$$g_\tau \varphi = \|V_1 + V_2 + V_3\|^2 \leq (\|V_1\| + \|V_2\| + \|V_3\|)^2 \leq \left( \|b - a\|_{H_A} Ch\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tau + \|b\|_{H_A} + \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right)^2.$$

Thus

$$g_\tau \varphi \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Ch\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tau + \|b\|_{H_A} + \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right]^2. \tag{32}$$

From (25)

$$\|V_3\|^2 \leq \tau \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \leq \tau \max_{[0,\tau]} \|\varphi(s)\|^2 \int_0^\tau ds = \tau^2 \|\varphi\|_{C([0,\tau;H])}^2, \tag{31'}$$

$$\|V_3\| \leq \tau \|\varphi\|_C.$$

Taking (31') into account in (32)

$$g_\tau \varphi \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Ch\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tau + \|b\|_{H_A} + \tau \|\varphi\|_{C([0,\tau;H])} \right]^2. \tag{32'}$$

Let's now estimate  $g_\tau \varphi$  below

$$g_\tau \varphi = \|V_1 + V_2 + V_3\|_{L_2([0,\tau])}^2.$$

From (28)

$$\|V_1\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau}.$$

So as by the known property of function  $chx$  the inequality  $chx \geq 1, x \in (0, \infty)$  has place

$$\|V_1\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{Ch^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} (\tau - t)}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{1}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} \geq (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{1}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau}, \forall n \in N$$

$$\|V_1\|^2 \geq (b_n - a_n)^2 \lambda_n \frac{1}{Sh^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau}, \forall n \in N$$

or

$$\|V_1\| \geq |b_n - a_n| \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau}, \forall n \in N. \tag{33}$$

Hence it follows that if  $b - a \neq 0$ , then there is such  $n$  that  $b_n - a_n \neq 0$  and the product  $|b_n - a_n| \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau}$  for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  becomes rather big. So  $\|V_1\| \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

From (30) it follows that  $\|V_2\|$  becomes restricted upper ( $\|V_2\| \leq \|b\|_{H_A}$ ). And from (31) also it follows that  $\|V_3\|$  becomes restricted upper too.

Taking (33), (30) and (31) into account in (27)

$$\|V_1 + V_2 + V_3\| \geq \|V_1\| + \|V_2\| + \|V_3\| \geq |b_n - a_n| \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

$$g_\tau \varphi = \|V_1 + V_2 + V_3\|^2 \geq \left[ \|b_n - a_n\| \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right]^2.$$

Thus,

$$g_\tau \varphi \geq \left[ \|b_n - a_n\| \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{Sh \sqrt{\lambda_n} \tau} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \sqrt{\tau} \left( \int_0^\tau \|\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right]^2. \quad (34)$$

From the last one it follows that for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  the first component in the right-hand side of (34) tends to  $+\infty$ , and the second and third components are restricted. So we obtain that  $g(\tau) \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  ( $g(0) = +\infty$ ). So for all  $\vartheta > 0$  for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$   $g(\tau) - \vartheta \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Moreover, the inequality (32') is fulfilled

$$g_\tau \varphi \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth \sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau + \|b\|_{H_A} + \tau \|\varphi\|_C \right]^2 \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} cth \sqrt{\lambda_1} \tau + \|b\|_{H_A} + \tau R \right]^2.$$

As we have proved that (32') is valid for all  $\tau \in (0, T]$ , so (32') is valid for  $\xi \in (0, T]$  namely

$$g_\xi \varphi \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth \sqrt{\lambda_1} \xi + \|b\|_{H_A} + \xi R \right]^2.$$

Taking (17) into account in the last inequality we obtain

$$g_\xi \varphi \leq \left[ \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth \sqrt{\lambda_1} \xi + \|b\|_{H_A} + \sqrt{\vartheta} - \|b\|_{H_A} - \|b - a\|_{H_A} Cth \sqrt{\lambda_1} \xi \right]^2 = \vartheta.$$

Hence

$$g_\xi \varphi - \vartheta < 0. \quad (35)$$

Taking into account by virtue of continuity of  $g_\tau \varphi$  on  $(0, T]$  we obtain that equation  $g_\tau \varphi = \vartheta$  has on the segment  $(0, \xi]$  at least one solution.

The author expresses his deep gratitude to doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, professor A.Kh. Shamilov for attention to this work and useful discussions.

#### References

- [1]. Шамилов А.Х. К теории специальных краевых задач для абстрактных дифференциальных уравнений. Докл. АН СССР, 1988, т. 301, №1, с.54-57.
- [2]. Шамилов А.Х. Специальные вопросы теории функционально-дифференциальных уравнений. Баку, 1990.
- [3]. Шамилов А.Х. О специальных краевых задачах для дифференциальных уравнений в гильбертовом пространстве. Диф.ур-я, январь 1994, т.30, №1.
- [4]. Shamilov A.Kh. On the theory of the ballistical problem for the nonlinear abstract differential equations with main linear part. Ist. University ODLAR YURDU, 1998, p. 25-30.
- [5]. Михлин С.Г. Линейные уравнения в частных произведениях. М.: Высшая школа, 1977.

Guliyev N.A.

Baku State University named after M. Rasulzadeh.

23, Z.I. Khalilov str., 370148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Received December 2, 1999; Revised February 16, 2000.

Translated by Soltanova S.M.