

GASANOVA L.K.

ON THE SOLUTION OF GOURSAT-DARBOUX PROBLEM WITH THE BOUNDARY GENERALIZED INFLUENCES

Abstract

Theorems on the existence and uniqueness of the weak local and the weak global solutions of Goursat-Darboux problems with boundary generalized influence are proved.

In the given paper the theorems on the existence and uniqueness of the weak local and the weak global solutions of Goursat-Darboux problem with the boundary-generalized influences are proved.

Let the process be described by the system of equations

$$z_{tx} = f(z, t, x), \quad (t, x) \in D = D_{t_1} \times D_{x_1} \quad (1)$$

with the condition

$$z_t(t, x_0) = f_1(z(t, x_0), t) + G_1(t) \dot{u}_1(t); \quad t \in \overline{D}_{t_1} = [t_0, t_1], \quad (2)$$

$$z_x(t_0, x) = f_2(z(t_0, x), x) + G_2(x) \dot{u}_2(x); \quad x \in \overline{D}_{x_1} = [x_0, x_1], \quad (3)$$

$$z(t_0, x_0) = z^0. \quad (4)$$

Here $f(z, t, x)$, $f_1(z, t)$, $f_2(z, x)$, z^0 are the given n -dimensional vector-functions; $G_1(t)$ and $G_2(x)$ are matrix functions with dimensions $n \times m_1$ and $n \times m_2$ correspondingly, $(u_1(t), u_2(x))$ is $m_1 + m_2$ -dimensional vector-function with the bounded variations, $(\dot{u}_1(t), \dot{u}_2(x))$ is a zero order distribution, being a generalized derivative of the function $(u_1(t), u_2(x))$ [1, 5]. Let us denote by $VB_{m_1}(t_0, t_1)$ the set of m_1 -dimensional vector-functions of the bounded variation on segment $[t_0, t_1]$ and continuous from left on the open interval in the neighbourhood of segment $[t_0, t_1]$ ([3]).

Vector-function $z(t, x) \in VB_n(D)$ (see [2]) satisfying the integral system

$$z(t, x) = z^0 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(z(\tau, x_0), \tau) d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x f_2(z(t_0, s), s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x f(z(\tau, s), \tau, s) ds d\tau + \\ + \int_{t_0}^t G_1(\tau) du_1(\tau) + \int_{x_0}^x G_2(s) du_2(s) \quad (5)$$

is called the weak solution of problem (1)-(4) corresponding to vector-function

$$(u_1(t), u_2(x)) \in VB_{m_1}(t_0, t_1) \times VB_{m_2}(x_0, x_1).$$

The last two integrals in the right-hand side of equality (5) are understood in Stieltjes sense.

Theorem 1. Let functions $f(z, t, x)$, $f_1(z, t)$, $f_2(z, x)$, $G_1(t)$, $G_2(x)$ be continuous by totality of arguments for $t \in \overline{D}_{t_1}$, $x \in \overline{D}_{x_1}$, $z \in R_n$. Moreover, let functions $f(z, t, x)$, $f_1(z, t)$, $f_2(z, x)$ satisfy locally Lipschitz condition with respect to z , i.e. for $(t, x) \in D$, $z_1, z_2 \in S_R(z^0) = \{z \in R_n, \|z - z^0\| \leq R\}$ inequalities $\|f_i(z_2, s) - f_i(z_1, s)\| \leq L(R)\|z_2 - z_1\|$, $i = 1, 2$; $\|f(z_2, t, x) - f(z_1, t, x)\| \leq L(R)\|z_2 - z_1\|$ are valid, where $L(R)$ is the constant

dependent on R . Then for arbitrary distributions $(\dot{u}_1(t), \dot{u}_2(x))$ of zero order the unique weak local solution of problem (1)-(4) exists.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{t_0}^{t_1} u_1(t) = N_1, \quad \text{Var}_{x_0}^{x_1} u_2(x) = N_2, \quad \max_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} \|G_1(t)\| = N_{G_1}, \quad \max_{x_0 \leq x \leq x_1} \|G_2(x)\| = N_{G_2}, \\ R = 2(N_1 N_{G_1} + N_2 N_{G_2}), \quad S_R(z^0) = \{z \in R_n : \|z - z^0\| \leq R\}, \quad \max_{S_R(z^0) \cap [t_0, t_1]} \|f_1(z, t)\| = N_{f_1}, \\ \max_{S_R(z^0) \cap [x_0, x_1]} \|f_2(z, x)\| = N_{f_2}, \quad \max_{S_R(z^0) \cap D} \|f(z, t, x)\| = N_f. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $t_0 < t'_1 \leq t_1$, $x_0 < x'_1 \leq x_1$ from condition

$$N_{f_1}(t'_1 - t_0) + N_{f_2}(x'_1 - x_0) + N_f(t'_1 - t_0)(x'_1 - x_0) + N_1 N_{G_1} + N_2 N_{G_2} \leq R.$$

Let us prove the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution of problem (1)-(4) in domain $D' = (t_0, t'_1) \times (x_0, x'_1)$.

Let's consider successive approximations ([4])

$$\begin{aligned} z^k(t, x) = z^0 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(z^{k-1}(\tau, x_0), \tau) d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x f_2(z^{k-1}(t_0, s), s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x f(z^{k-1}(\tau, s), \tau, s) ds d\tau + \\ + \int_{t_0}^t G_1(\tau) du_1(\tau) + \int_{x_0}^x G_2(s) du_2(s), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ z^0(t, x) = z^0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From equality (6) for $k=1$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^1(t, x) - z^0\| \leq \int_{t_0}^t \|f_1(z^0, \tau)\| d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x \|f_2(z^0, s)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \|f(z^0, \tau, s)\| ds d\tau + \\ + \int_{t_0}^t \|G_1(\tau)\| \|du_1(\tau)\| + \int_{x_0}^x \|G_2(s)\| \|du_2(s)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^1(t, x) - z^0\| \leq N_{f_1}(t - t_0) + N_{f_2}(x - x_0) + N_f(t - t_0)(x - x_0) + \\ + N_{G_1} \int_{t_0}^t \|du_1(\tau)\| + N_{G_2} \int_{x_0}^x \|du_2(s)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \|du_1(\tau)\| \leq \text{Var}_{t_0}^{t_1} u_1(t) = N_1, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \\ \int_{x_0}^x \|du_2(s)\| \leq \text{Var}_{x_0}^{x_1} u_2(x) = N_2, \quad x \in [x_0, x_1], \end{aligned}$$

then it follows from choice of t'_1 and x'_1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^1(t, x) - z^0\| \leq N_{f_1}(t'_1 - t_0) + N_{f_2}(x'_1 - x_0) + N_f(t'_1 - t_0)(x'_1 - x_0) + \\ + N_{G_1} N_1 + N_{G_2} N_2 \leq R. \end{aligned}$$

By the mathematical induction method one can prove, that

$$\|z^k(t, x) - z^0\| \leq R, \quad (t, x) \in D', \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

Now, let us prove that sequence $\{z^k(t, x)\}$ uniformly converges to D' . Let us assume $\delta_k(t, x) = \|z^k(t, x) - z^{k-1}(t, x)\|$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $H = \max\{1 + t'_1 - t_0, 1 + x'_1 - x_0\}$.

From equality (6) we obtain:

$$\delta_k(t, x) \leq \int_{t_0}^t \|f_1(z^{k-1}(\tau, x_0), \tau) - f_1(z^{k-2}(\tau, x_0), \tau)\| d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x \|f_2(z^{k-1}(t_0, s), s) - f_2(z^{k-2}(t_0, s), s)\| ds + \\ + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \|f(z^{k-1}(\tau, s), \tau, s) - f(z^{k-2}(\tau, s), \tau, s)\| d\tau ds.$$

Hence, using Lipschitz condition we have:

$$\delta_k(t, x) \leq L(R) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \delta_{k-1}(\tau, x_0) d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x \delta_{k-1}(t_0, s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \delta_{k-1}(\tau, s) d\tau ds \right\}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (8)$$

By virtue of (7): $\delta_1(t, x) = \|z^1(t, x) - z^0\| \leq R$, $(t, x) \in D'$.

Then from (8) for $k = 2$ we obtain:

$$\delta_2(t, x) \leq RL(R) \{(t - t_0) + (x - x_0) + (t - t_0)(x - x_0)\} \leq \\ \leq RL(R) \{(t - t_0)(1 + x'_1 - x_0) + (x - x_0)(1 + t'_1 - t_0)\} \leq RL(R)H \{(t - t_0) + (x - x_0)\}.$$

By the mathematical induction method one can prove, that

$$\delta_k(t, x) \leq R \frac{L^{k-1}(R)H^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} [(t - t_0)^{k-1} + (x - x_0)^{k-1}], \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

Indeed inequality (9) is valid for $k = 2$. Assuming that it is valid for $k = n - 1$, one can show its validity for $k = n$. From inequality (8) we have:

$$\delta_n(t, x) \leq L(R) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t R \frac{L^{n-2}(R)H^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} (\tau - t_0)^{n-2} d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x R \frac{L^{n-2}(R)H^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \times \right. \\ \times (s - x_0)^{n-2} ds + \left. \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x R \frac{L^{n-2}(R)H^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} [(\tau - t_0)^{n-2} + (s - x_0)^{n-2}] d\tau ds \right\} = \\ = R \frac{L^{n-1}(R)H^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \left\{ \frac{(t - t_0)^{n-1}}{n-1} + \frac{(x - x_0)^{n-1}}{n-1} + \frac{(t - t_0)^{n-1}}{n-1} (x - x_0) + \right. \\ \left. + (t - t_0) \frac{(x - x_0)^{n-1}}{n-1} \right\} \leq R \frac{L^{n-1}(R)H^{n-2}}{(n-1)!} \{(t - t_0)^{n-1} (1 + x'_1 - x_0) + \\ + (x - x_0)^{n-1} (1 + t'_1 - t_0)\} \leq R \frac{L^{n-1}(R)H^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [(t - t_0)^{n-1} + (x - x_0)^{n-1}].$$

Consequently, inequality (9) is valid.

By Dalamber's test the series, whose general term $u_n = R \frac{L^{n-1}(R)H^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \times [(t'_1 - t_0)^{n-1} + (x'_1 - x_0)^{n-1}]$ converges. Then by virtue of inequality (9) the series

$$z^1(t, x) + (z^2(t, x) - z^1(t, x)) + \dots + (z^n(t, x) - z^{n-1}(t, x)) + \dots \quad (10)$$

admits

$$\delta_1(t'_1, x'_1) + \delta_2(t'_1, x'_1) + \dots + \delta_n(t'_1, x'_1) + \dots \quad (11)$$

as a majorant.

Therefore series (10) absolutely and uniform convergences in D' .

Consequently, sequence $\{z^k(t, x)\}$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly converges to some limit $z(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in D'$. In formulas (6) one can pass to the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$ under the sign of integral at the result of which these formulas will pass to integral equation (5).

Consequently, vector-function $z(t, x)$ is the weak solution of problem (1)-(4), correspondingly to control $(u_1(t), u_2(x))$. Let us prove, that problem (1)-(4) has not any other solution.

Let $z^*(t, x)$ be some weak solution of problem (1)-(4), satisfying the condition $\|z^*(t, x) - z^0\| \leq R$, $(t, x) \in D'$. Then

$$z^*(t, x) = z^0 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(z^*(\tau, x_0), \tau) d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x f_2(z^*(t_0, s), s) ds + \\ + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x f(z^*(\tau, s), \tau, s) ds d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t G_1(\tau) du_1(\tau) + \int_{x_0}^x G_2(s) du_2(s).$$

Subtracting from (6) this equality, taking in both sides absolute quantity and using Lipschitz condition, we obtain:

$$\|z^k(t, x) - z^*(t, x)\| \leq L(R) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \|z^{k-1}(\tau, x_0) - z^*(\tau, x_0)\| d\tau + \right. \\ \left. + \int_{x_0}^x \|z^{k-1}(t_0, s) - z^*(t_0, s)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \|z^k(\tau, s) - z^*(\tau, s)\| ds d\tau \right\}, \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, assuming

$$\delta_k^*(t, x) = \|z^k(t, x) - z^*(t, x)\|$$

we have

$$\delta_k^*(t, x) \leq L(R) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \delta_{k-1}^*(\tau, x_0) d\tau + \int_{x_0}^x \delta_{k-1}^*(t_0, s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \delta_{k-1}^*(\tau, s) ds d\tau \right\}, \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

This inequality has the same form of (8), analogically to that as inequality (9) has been obtained, we'll obtain:

$$\delta_k^*(t, x) \leq R \frac{(L(R)H)^k}{k!} ((t - t_0)^k + (x - x_0)^k), \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, $\delta_k^*(t, x)$ not exceeding the general term of the almost everywhere convergent series, necessarily tends to zero for $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Consequently, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z^k(t, x) - z^*(t, x)\| = 0$, $(t, x) \in D'$.

From uniqueness of the limit of the convergent sequence it follows that $z^*(t, x) = z(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in D'$, i.e. the solution is unique.

Theorem 2. Let function $f(z, t, x)$, $f_1(z, t)$, $f_2(z, x)$, $G_1(t)$, $G_2(x)$ be continuous for $(t, x) \in D$, $z \in R^n$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, $x \in [x_0, x_1]$. Moreover, $f(z, t, x)$, $f_1(z, t)$, $f_2(z, x)$ satisfy Lipschitz condition with respect to $z \in R^n$, for $(t, x) \in D$. Then for arbitrary distribution $(\dot{u}_1(t), \dot{u}_2(x))$ of zero order the weak solution of problem (1)-(4) exists in domain D .

Analogically to the proof of theorem 1, proving theorem 2 successive approximations (6) are considered and it is proved, that sequence of functions $\{z^k(t, x)\}$

uniformly converges on D . The limit function is the unique weak solution of (1)-(4) on D .

References

- [1]. Гельфанд И.М., Шиллов Г.Е. *Обобщенные функции и действия над ними*. Вып. I, М., 1958, с.440.
- [2]. Математическая энциклопедия, т. I, М., 1977, с.1151.
- [3]. Орлов Ю.В. *Теория оптимальных систем с обобщенными управлениями*. М., «Наука», 1988, с.190.
- [4]. Трикоми Ф. *Лекции по уравнениям в частных производных*. М., 1957, с. 444.
- [5]. Филиппов А.Ф. *Дифференциальные уравнения с разрывной правой частью*. М., «Наука», 1985, с.224.

Gasanova L.K.

Baku State University named after E.M. Rasulzadeh.
23, Z.I. Khalilov str., 370148, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Received December 28, 1999; Revised June 14, 2000.
Translated by Nazirova S.H.