

Irina V. MAYO OVA

**TO ESTIMATES OF L_q -TRACES OF FUNCTIONS
AND THEIR DERIVATIVES ON BOUNDARY
 m -DIMENSIONAL SURFACE**

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the properties of $L_q(\Gamma_m)$ – traces of functions from new spaces

$$W_p^{(r)}(G; s)$$

on boundary m -dimensional surface $\Gamma_m \in \Pi^1$. The integral inequality of the embedding theorem type

$$D^\nu : W_p^{(r)}(G; s) \hookrightarrow L_q(\Gamma_m)$$

is proved.

We consider the space

$$W_p^{(r)}(G; s) \tag{0.1}$$

of the functions $f = f(x)$ of the points $x = (x_1; \dots; x_s) \in E_n$ ($1 \leq s \leq n$) of many variables $x_k = (x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,n_k}) \in E_{n_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$), defined in the domain $G \subset E_n = E_{n_1} \times \dots \times E_n$, ($n = n_1 + \dots + n_s$) satisfying the condition of “ σ -semi-horn”

Space (0.1) is determined as a closure of set of sufficient smooth and finite functions in E_n by the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_p^{(r)}(G; s)} = \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q} \|D^{r^i} f\|_{L_p(G)} < \infty, \tag{0.2}$$

where the sum is taken in all possible vectors $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q$ with the coordinates $i_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_k\}$. ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$).

It is assumed that the vector $r = (r_1; \dots; r_s)$ with coordinates-vectors $r_k = (r_{k,1}, \dots, r_{k,n_k})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$) is “integer and positive”, i.e., $r_{k,j} > 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$) are integer at all $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$, and for each $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q$ the vector $r^i = (r_1^{i_1}; \dots; r_s^{i_s})$ has coordinates and vectors

$$r_k^{i_k} = \begin{cases} (0, \dots, 0, r_{k,i_k}, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{at } i_k \neq 0, \\ (0, \dots, 0) & \text{at } i_k = 0 \end{cases}$$

at all $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

Space (0.1) in case of $s = 1$ coincides with the known S.L.Sobolev space $W_p^{(r)}(G)$, and the case $s = n$ with the known S.M.Nikolskii space $S_p^{(r)}W(G)$ of differentiable functions with dominant mixed derivative.

In this paper we define a class of surfaces Γ_m ($1 \leq m \leq n - 1$) and find the conditions for which there exist L_q -traces of functions and their derivatives on Γ_m . At that it is proved that

$$D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m} \in L_q(\Gamma_m), \tag{0.3}$$

and the integral inequalities

$$\|D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m}\|_{L_q(\Gamma_m)} \leq \|f\|_{W_p^{(r)}(G;s)} \tag{0.4}$$

are valid, where it is assumed that $1 < q < \infty$.

1. Basic definitions and necessary notation.

1.1. Let $H = (H_1, \dots, H_s)$, $H_k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$), and the vector

$$\delta = (\delta_1; \dots; \delta_s) \tag{1.1}$$

with the coordinate-vectors $\delta_k = (\delta_{k,1}, \dots, \delta_{k,n_k})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be such that

$$\delta_{k,j} = +1 \text{ or } \delta_{k,j} = -1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k) \tag{1.2}$$

at all $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

Let the vector

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1; \dots; \sigma_s) \tag{1.3}$$

with the coordinate-vectors

$$\sigma_k = (\sigma_{k,1}, \dots, \sigma_{k,n_k}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, s) \tag{1.4}$$

be “positive”, i.e.

$$\sigma_{k,j} > 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, s). \tag{1.5}$$

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_\delta(\sigma; H) = \\ & = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < v_k \leq H_k \\ (k=1,2,\dots,s)}} \left\{ y \in E_n; \quad C_k \leq \frac{y_{k,j} \delta_{k,j}}{v_k^{k,j}} \leq C_k^* \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where C_k, C_k^* ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are fixed positive constants, it is denoted a “ σ -semi-horn” with vertex in origin of coordinates. Then

$$x + \mathcal{R}_\delta(\sigma; H) \tag{1.7}$$

is “ σ -semi-horn” with vertex at the point $x \in E_n$.

Definition 1. The subdomain $\Omega \subset G$ is called a subdomain satisfying the condition of “ σ - semi-horn” if

$$x + \mathcal{R}_\delta(\sigma; H) \subset G \tag{1.8}$$

at all $x \in \Omega$.

Definition 2. The domain

$$G \subset E_n \tag{1.9}$$

is called a domain satisfying the condition of “ σ - semi-horn” if there exists a set of subdomains

$$G_1, G_2, \dots, G_K \subset G \tag{1.10}$$

satisfying the condition of “ σ - semi-horn” and covering the domain G , i.e. such that

$$G = \bigcup_{\mu=1}^K G_\mu. \tag{1.11}$$

The class of domains $G \subset E_n$ satisfying the condition of “ σ - semi-horn” is denoted by

$$C(\sigma; H). \tag{1.12}$$

1.2. Let

$$m = m_1 + \dots + m_\alpha < n = n_1 + \dots + n_s \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq s \leq n), \tag{1.13}$$

at that

$$m_k \leq n_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha). \tag{1.14}$$

Let at each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$

$$\begin{cases} x_{k,1} = x_{k,1}; \dots; x_{k,m_k} = x_{k,m_k}, \\ x_{k,\beta} = \varphi_{k,\beta}(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k}) \quad (\beta = m_k + 1, \dots, n_k) \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

at that we assume that the functions

$$\varphi_{k,\beta} = \varphi_{k,\beta}(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k}) \quad (\beta = m_k + 1, \dots, n_k) \tag{1.16}$$

have continuous partial derivatives in some m_k -dimensional domain $\Omega_{m_k} \subset E_{m_k}$, moreover we assume that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k,j}} \varphi_{k,\beta}(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k}) \right| \leq M_k \tag{1.17}$$

at all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_k$ and $\beta = m_k + 1, \dots, n_k$.

Let

$$x_k^* = (x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k}, \varphi_{k,m_k+1}(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k}), \dots, \varphi_{k,n_k}(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,m_k})) \tag{1.18}$$

at all $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$.

Let further

$$\begin{cases} x_1^* = x_1^*, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_\alpha^* = x_\alpha^*, \\ x_{\alpha+1}^* = \psi_{\alpha+1}(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*), \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_s^* = \psi_s(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*) \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

where the vector-functions

$$\psi_k = \psi_k(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*) \quad (k = \alpha + 1, \dots, s) \quad (1.20)$$

with the coordinate functions

$$\psi_{k,j} = \psi_{k,j}(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k) \quad (1.21)$$

and having continuous partial derivatives such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma,\beta}} \psi_{k,j}(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*) \right| \leq M_{k,j} \quad (1.22)$$

in some domain

$$\Omega_m = \Omega_{m_1} \times \dots \times \Omega_{m_\alpha} \subset E_m = E_{m_1} \times \dots \times E_{m_\alpha} \quad (1.23)$$

at all $\gamma = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$; $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, m_\gamma$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$; $k = \alpha + 1, \dots, s$.

It follows from the cited reasons that the set of the points

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= (x_1^*, \dots, x_\alpha^*, \psi_{\alpha+1}(x_1^*, \dots, x_\alpha^*); \dots; \psi_s(x_1^*; \dots; x_\alpha^*)) = \\ &= P(x_1^*, \dots, x_\alpha^*) = T(x') \end{aligned}$$

at

$$(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,m_1}; \dots; x_{\alpha,1}, \dots, x_{\alpha,m_\alpha}) = (x'_1, \dots, x'_\alpha) = x' \in \Omega_m \subset E_m \quad (1.24)$$

it is defined the surface

$$\Gamma_m \subset \overline{G}. \quad (1.25)$$

The class of surfaces Γ_m from (1.13)-(1.26) is denoted by Π^1 .

2. Basic result.

The basic result is given in the form of the following theorem.

Theorem. 1) Let $f \in W_p^{(r)}(G; s)$, where $1 < p \leq q < \infty$.

2) Let the domain $G \in C(\sigma; H)$.

3) Let $\Gamma_m \in \Pi^1$ at $\Gamma_m \subset \overline{G}$.

4) Let the "positive nonnegative" vector $\nu = (\nu_1; \dots; \nu_s)$ with the coordinates-vectors $\nu_k = (\nu_{k,1}, \dots, \nu_{k,n_k})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be such that

$$\varkappa_{k,i_k} = r_{k,i_k} \sigma_{k,i_k} - (\nu_k, \sigma_k) - \frac{1}{q} |\sigma_k| + \frac{1}{q} |\sigma'_k| \geq 0$$

($i_k = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$) at all $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$;

$$\varkappa_{k,i_k} = r_{k,i_k} \sigma_{k,i_k} - (\nu_k, \sigma_k) - \frac{1}{q} |\sigma_k| > 0 \quad (i_k = 1, 2, \dots, n_k)$$

at all $k = \alpha + 1, \dots, s$, where $|\sigma_k| = \sigma_{k,1} + \dots + \sigma_{k,n_k}$; $|\sigma'_k| = \sigma_{k,1} + \dots + \sigma_{k,m_k}$;

$$(\nu_k, \sigma_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \nu_{k,j} \sigma_{k,j}.$$

Then the function $D^\nu f(x)$ on the surface Γ_m has L_q -traces

$$D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m} \in L_q(\Gamma_m),$$

at that the inequalities

$$\|D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m}\|_{L_q(\Gamma_m)} \leq C \|f\|_{W_p^{(r)}(G;s)}$$

are valid, the constant C is independent of the function $f = f(x)$.

3. Scheme of proof of the theorem.

The proof of the theorem is conducted by the method of integral representations on the basis of a new integral representation of functions led in [1].

3.1. We can take the function

$$f \in W_p^{(r)}(G; s) \tag{3.1}$$

sufficiently smooth in E_n , consequently at each point $x \in E_n$ the identity

$$D^\nu f(x) = \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q} A_{i,\delta} f(x) \tag{3.2}$$

holds.

Here the integral operators

$$A_{i,\delta} f(x) = c_i \left(\prod_{k \in e_s \setminus e^i} H_k^{-\beta_{k,0}} \right) \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{H}} \prod_{k \in e^i} \frac{dv_k}{v_k^{1+\beta_{k,i_k}}} \times \\ \times \int_{E_n} D^{r^i} f(x+y) \Phi_{i,\delta}(\dots) dy \tag{3.3}$$

at each $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q$, at that $e^i = s \cup \dots \cup i$, $e_s = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$..

In integral operators (3.3) the numbers

$$\beta_{k,0} = |\sigma_k| + (\nu_k, \sigma_k) \quad k \in e_s \setminus e^i, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\beta_{k,i_k} = |\sigma_k| + (\nu_k, \sigma_k) - r_{k,i_k} \sigma_{k,i_k} \tag{3.5}$$

at each $k \in e^i$, and at $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q$.

The kernels in integral operators (3.3) are sufficiently smooth and finite functions, and are defined by the equalities

$$\Phi_{i,\delta}(\dots) = \prod_{k \in e^i} \Phi_{k,\delta_{k,i_k}} \left(\frac{y_k}{v_k^{\sigma_k}} \right) \prod_{k \in e_s \setminus e^i} \Phi_{k,\delta_{k,0}} \left(\frac{y_k}{H_k^{\sigma_k}} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

and the vectors

$$\begin{cases} \frac{y_k}{v_k^{\sigma_k}} = \left(\frac{y_{k,1}}{v_k^{\sigma_{k,1}}}, \dots, \frac{y_{k,n_k}}{v_k^{\sigma_{k,n_k}}} \right), \\ \frac{y_k}{H_k^{\sigma_k}} = \left(\frac{y_{k,1}}{H_k^{\sigma_{k,1}}}, \dots, \frac{y_{k,n_k}}{H_k^{\sigma_{k,n_k}}} \right) \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

for corresponding $k \in e_s$, at that the support

$$su \quad \Phi_{k,i_k,\delta_k}(y_k) \quad (3.8)$$

belongs to the set

$$\{y_k \in E_{n_k}; 0 < y_{k,j} \delta_{k,j} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k)\} \quad (3.9)$$

for corresponding $k \in e_s$.

3.2. Let “nonnegative vector”

$$h = (h_1; \dots; h_s) \quad (3.10)$$

with the coordinates-vectors $h_k = (h_{k,1}, \dots, h_{k,n_k})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$) define the surface

$$\Gamma_m + h = \{x^* + h; x^* \in \Gamma_m\}, \quad (3.11)$$

i.e., this set of the points

$$x^* + h = T(x') + h$$

at all $x' = (x'_1; \dots; x'_\alpha) \in \Omega_m \subset E_m$, at that we choose a system of coordinates and vector (3.10) such that

$$\Gamma_m + h \subset G. \quad (3.13)$$

Note that

$$\|D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m+h}\|_{L_q(\Gamma_m+h)} \leq C \left\{ \int_{\Omega_m} |D^\nu f(T(x') + h)|^q dx' \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (3.14)$$

at $1 < q < \infty$.

3.3.

coincides with surface (3.11).

We define a set of auxiliary functions coincident on corresponding parts of the surface $\Gamma_m + h$, with contraction $D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m+h}$ on this part of surface.

This set of auxiliary functions is defined by the equalities

$$f_{\nu; \Gamma_m+h}(T(x') + h) = \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q} A_{i, \delta^\mu}^* f(T(x') + h) \quad (3.18)$$

at all $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

Here

$$A_{i, \delta^\mu}^* f(T(x') + h) = c_i \left(\prod_{k \in e_s \setminus e^i} H_k^{-\beta_{k,0}} \right) \int_{\vec{0}}^{\vec{H}} \prod_{k \in e^i} \frac{dv_k}{v_k^{1+\beta_{k,i_k}}} \times$$

$$\times \int_{E_n} \chi(G_\mu + R_{\delta^\mu}) D^{r^i} f(T(x') + h) \Phi_{i, \delta^\mu}(\dots) dy, \quad (3.19)$$

at that all the notation from (3.3) are remained, and the function

$$\chi = \chi(G_\mu + R_{\delta^\mu}) \quad (3.20)$$

is a characteristic function of the set $G_\mu + R_{\delta^\mu}$ for corresponding $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

It follows from inequality (3.14) and equality (3.18) that

$$\|D^\nu f|_{\Gamma_m+h}\|_{L_q(\Gamma_m+h)} \leq$$

$$\leq C \sum_{\mu=1}^K \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Q} \|A_{i, \delta^\mu}^* f(T(\cdot) + h)\|_{L_q(E_m)} \quad (3.21)$$

consequently, the proof of the theorem is led to the estimations

$$\|A_{i, \delta^\mu}^* f(T(\cdot) + h)\|_{L_q(E_m)} \leq C \left(\prod_{k=1}^s H_k^{\alpha_{k,i_k}} \right) \|D^{r^i} f\|_{L_q(G_\mu + R_{\delta^\mu})} \quad (3.22)$$

of integral operators (3.3) uniformly with respect to vector (3.10).

eferences

- [1]. Maksudov F.G., Jabrayilov A.J. *Method of integral representations in theory of spaces*. Baki, "Elm", 2000, I v., 200p. (Russian)
- [2]. Besov O.V., Il'in V.P., Nikolskii S.M. *Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems*. Moscow, "Nauka", 1975, 480p. (Russian)
- [3]. Sobolev S.L. *Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics*. L. Pub. House LSU, 1950., 255p. (Russian)
- [4]. Nikolskii S.M. *Approxiamtion of multi-variable functions and embedding theorems*. Moscow, "Nauka", 1977, 455p. (Russian)

[5]. Jabrayilov A.J. *To theory of "Embedding theorem"*. Trudy MIAN SSSR, 1967, v.89, pp. 80-118. (Russian)

Irina V. Mayorova

Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction

5, A.Sultanova str., AZ1073, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Tel.: (99412) 431-97-67 (apt.)

Received February 16, 2004; Revised July 12, 2004.

Translated by Mirzoyeva K.S.