

ALİ ARAL

## APPROXIMATION BY IBRAGIMOV-GADJIEV OPERATORS IN POLYNOMIAL WEIGHTED SPACE<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

This paper is devoted to study of Ibragimov -Gadjiev operators as an approximation process in the weighted function space of continuous functions on unbounded interval.

### 1. Introduction

In expensive paper [8], I. I. Ibragimov, and A. D. Gadjiev, defined a general sequence of positive operators and studied some approximation properties of this operators. Their work was motivated by the development of a general expression that cover other Bernstein type operators. It is shown in [8] that this sequence of operators, in special case, consist of the well known Bernstein, Szász, Bernstein-Chlodovsky and V. A. Baskakov operators(see [2]).

Also, Ibragimov -Gadjiev operators were studied by some authors. B. Wood and P. Radatz [9] obtained some approximation properties of operators given in [8] to derivative of function. In [3] for these operators were given a modification and the some results in [8] and [9] were also developed. Regarding the same operators, the most recent paper is due to Gadjiev, A. D. and Ispir N. [5] who obtained the theorems on weighted approximation on infinite sets using weighted Korovkin's type theorem given in [6] and [7]. Also Tibor K. Pogány [12] proved general convergence theorem for Ibragimov- Gadjiev operators on the class of finite second-order stochastic processes.

The aim of this paper is to discuss some approximation properties of modified Ibragimov- Gadjiev operators given in [3] in the setting of polynomial weighted function space. The results we shall give different from established in [5]. Our results were inspired by M. Becker paper [11] on Szász and Baskakov operators. Note that this technique will be used for the Balazs- Szabados operators and Szász operators in [1] and [10], respectively.. In the next section we introduce the construction of operators . In section 3 we present some auxiliary result and in section 4 we give pointwise estimate and the rate of convergence with the aid of weighted modulus of continuity.

### 2. Definitions and construction of operators

Let  $C(\mathbb{R}_0)$  be set of all real-valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}_0 = [0, \infty)$ . By  $B(\mathbb{R}_0)$  we denote the subspace of all bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $C_m(\mathbb{R}_0)$  stands for consisting of all continuous functions  $f$ , such that  $\frac{f(x)}{1+x^m} \in B(\mathbb{R}_0)$ , with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} := \sup_{0 \leq x \leq b_\lambda} \frac{|f(x)|}{1+x^m},$$

<sup>1</sup>1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A36

Key words and phrases Gadjiev-Ibragimov operators, weighted space, weighted modulus of continuity, Korovkin theorem, Steklov means.

where  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} b_\lambda = \infty$ .

Considering that,  $\lambda$  positive real number, let  $\{\varphi_\lambda(t)\}$  and  $\{\psi_\lambda(t)\}$  be a family of functions in  $C[0, b_\lambda]$  such that  $\varphi_\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi_\lambda(t) > 0$  for each  $t \in [0, b_\lambda]$  and  $b_\lambda$  has infinite limit.

Let  $\{\alpha_\lambda\}$  be a family of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} = 0.$$

Assume that a family of functions of three variables  $\{K_\lambda(x, t, u)\}$  ( $x, t \in [0, b_\lambda]$ ,  $-\infty < u < \infty$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ) satisfies the following conditions:

1<sup>0</sup>. Each function of this family is an entire analytic function with respect to  $u$  for fixed  $x$  and  $t$  on  $[0, b_\lambda]$ .

2<sup>0</sup>.  $K_\lambda(x, 0, 0) = 1$  for any  $x \in [0, b_\lambda]$  and for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

3<sup>0</sup>.  $\left\{ (-1)^v \frac{\partial^v}{\partial u^v} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \Big|_{u=u_1, t=0} \right\} \geq 0 \quad (\forall x \in [0, b_\lambda], \lambda \geq 0, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$

4<sup>0</sup>.  $\frac{\partial^v}{\partial u^v} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \Big|_{u=u_1, t=0} = -\lambda x \left[ \frac{\partial^{v-1}}{\partial u^{v-1}} K_{h(\lambda)}(x, t, u) \right] \Big|_{u=u_1, t=0}$

( $\forall x \in [0, b_\lambda]$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $h(\lambda)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying the condition  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} = 1$ .

Consider the family of linear operators;

$$L_\lambda(f; x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{v}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)}\right) \left\{ \frac{\partial^v}{\partial u^v} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \Big|_{u=u_1, t=0} \right\} \frac{[-\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(0)]^v}{v!} \quad (1)$$

Note that for  $\lambda = n$ ,  $h(\lambda) = m + n$  ( $m + n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} b_\lambda = A$  the operators defined by (1) reduced to the operators defined in [8].

### 3. Preliminary Result

By elementary calculation from (1) we obtain

$$L_\lambda(1; x) = 1$$

$$L_\lambda(s - x; x) = \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1\right) x \quad (2)$$

$$L_\lambda\left((s - x)^2; x\right) = \left(\left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1\right) x^2 + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} x$$

Now, we calculate m-th moments for  $L_\lambda$  using similar technique in [3]

**Lemma 1.** For any natural  $m$

$$L_\lambda(s^m; x) = A_m(\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B_{k,m}(\lambda) x^k, \quad (3)$$

where  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_m(\lambda) = 1$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B_{k,m}(\lambda) = 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ .

**Proof.** For the proof we use induction method. We can choose suitable constant  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1}$  such that

$$\left(\frac{v}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)}\right)^m = \frac{v(v-1)\dots(v-m+1)}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j \left(\frac{v}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)}\right)^j \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^{m-j}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda(s^m; x) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^m} \sum_{v=m}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial^v}{\partial u^v} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \Big|_{\substack{u=\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(t) \\ t=0}} \right\} \frac{[-\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(0)]^v}{(v-m)!} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^{m-j}} L_\lambda(s^j; x) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^m} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{v+m}}{\partial u^{v+m}} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \Big|_{\substack{u=\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(t) \\ t=0}} \right\} \frac{[-\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(0)]^{v+m}}{(v)!} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^{m+1-j}} L_\lambda(s^j; x) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using 4<sup>0</sup> we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \frac{\partial^{v+m}}{\partial u^{v+m}} K_\lambda(x, t, u) \right] \Big|_{\substack{u=\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(t) \\ t=0}} = \\ &= (-1)^m x^m \lambda h(\lambda) h_2(\lambda) \dots h_{(m-1)}(\lambda) \left[ \frac{\partial^v}{\partial u^v} K_{h_{(m)}(\lambda)}(x, t, u) \right] \Big|_{\substack{u=\alpha_\lambda \psi_\lambda(t) \\ t=0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_{(m)}(\lambda) = \underbrace{h(h(\dots h(\lambda)))}_{m\text{-times}}.$$

Using this equality and making simplification we can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda(s^m; x) &= \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right)^m \left(\frac{h(\lambda) h_2(\lambda) \dots h_{(m-1)}(\lambda)}{\lambda^m}\right) x^m + \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{m-1} \frac{(h(\lambda) h_2(\lambda) \dots h_{(m-2)}(\lambda))}{\lambda^{m-2}} \frac{a_{m-1}}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))} x^{m-1} + \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{m-2} \frac{(h(\lambda) h_2(\lambda) \dots h_{(m-3)}(\lambda))}{\lambda^{m-3}} \frac{\{a_{m-2} + a_{m-2} c_{1,m-2}\}}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^2} x^{m-2} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \frac{\{a_1 + a_2 c_{1,2} + \dots + a_{m-1} c_{m-1,m}\}}{(\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0))^m} x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,m+1} &= a_m c_{2,m+1} = a_{m-1} + a_{m-1} c_{1,m-1} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ c_{m,m+1} &= a_1 + a_2 c_{1,2} + \dots + a_m c_{m-1,m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_m(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda}\right)^m \left(\frac{h(\lambda)h_2(\lambda)\dots h_{(m-1)}(\lambda)}{\lambda^{m-1}}\right), \quad B_{k,m}(\lambda) = A_k(\lambda) \frac{C}{(\lambda^2\psi_\lambda(0))^{m-k}}.$$

**Lemma 2.** For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  and all  $f$  belongs to  $C_m(\mathbb{R}_0)$  there exists a constant  $\lambda_0$  such that for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$

$$\|L_\lambda(1 + s^m; x)\|_m \leq 2 \tag{4}$$

and the inequality

$$\|L_\lambda(f; x)\|_m \leq 2 \|f\|_m \tag{5}$$

holds.

The equality (5) shows that  $L_\lambda$  is a positive linear operators from the space  $C_m(\mathbb{R}_0)$  to  $C_m(\mathbb{R}_0)$ , (see [7]).

**Proof.** For  $x \geq 0$  and  $v = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$  since  $\frac{x^v}{1+x^m} \leq 1$  by Lemma 1 we have

$$\frac{L_\lambda(s^m; x)}{1+x^m} \leq A_m(\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B_{k,m}(\lambda),$$

where  $A_m(\lambda)$  and  $B_{k,m}(\lambda)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ) defined as in (3). Since  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_m(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B_{k,m}(\lambda) = 0$  the right hand side of the above inequality tends to 1 as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, there exist a constant  $\lambda_0$  such that for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$

$$\frac{L_\lambda(1 + s^m; x)}{1+x^m} \leq 1.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq b_\lambda} \frac{(1 + L_\lambda(s^m; x))}{1+x^m} \leq 1 + \|L_\lambda(s^m; x)\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq 2$$

Also, from (4), for every  $f \in C_m(\mathbb{R}_0)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_\lambda(f; x)\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} &\leq \left\| L_\lambda \left( (1 + s^m) |f(s)| \frac{1}{(1 + s^m)}; x \right) \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq \\ &\leq \|L_\lambda((1 + s^m); x)\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \|f\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq 2 \|f\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in [0, b_\lambda]$  and  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\frac{L_\lambda \left( (1 + s^m)(s - x)^2; x \right)}{(1 + x^m)} \leq H_m(\lambda) (x^2 + x + 2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0 \tag{6}$$

holds, where

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(\lambda) &= \max \{ |A_{m+2}(\lambda) - 2A_{m+1}(\lambda) + A_m(\lambda)|, \\ &|B_{m+1,m+2}(\lambda) - 2B_{m,m+1}(\lambda) + B_{m-1,m}(\lambda)| \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |B_k(\lambda) - 2B_{k-1}(\lambda) + B_{k-2}(\lambda)|, \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} \Bigg\}.$$

**Proof.** From lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda \left( (s-x)^2 s^m; x \right) &= L_\lambda (s^{m+2}; x) - 2xL_\lambda (s^{m+1}; x) + x^2L_\lambda (s^m; x) \\ &\leq |A_{m+2}(\lambda) - 2A_{m+1}(\lambda) + A_m(\lambda)| x^{m+2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |B_{k,m+2}(\lambda) - 2B_{k-1,m+1}(\lambda) + B_{k-2,m}(\lambda)| x^k, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where we choose for the convenience  $B_{-1,m+1}(\lambda) = B_{0,m}(\lambda) = 0$ . Using (7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L_\lambda \left( (1+s^m)(s-x)^2; x \right)}{(1+x^m)} &\leq \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} + \\ &\quad + |A_{m+2}(\lambda) - 2A_{m+1}(\lambda) + A_m(\lambda)| x^2 + \\ &\quad + |B_{m+1,m+2}(\lambda) - 2B_{m,m+1}(\lambda) + B_{m-1,m}(\lambda)| x + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m |B_{k,m+2}(\lambda) - 2B_{k-1,m+1}(\lambda) + B_{k-2,m}(\lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

This is claimed result.

#### 4. Main Results

In this section we give pointwise estimates in the polynomial weighted function space denote by

$$C_m^2(\mathbb{R}_0) := \left\{ f : f, f', f'' \in C_m(\mathbb{R}_0) \right\}.$$

Also, we define weighted modulus of continuity similar given in [5] and give theorem on the order of approximation of function by the operators (1) on infinite interval  $\mathbb{R}_0$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f \in C_m^2(\mathbb{R}_0)$ . For the linear positive operator  $L_\lambda(f; x)$  the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} &\leq \|f'\|_m \left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \\ &\quad + \|f''\|_m \left\{ \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} + H_m(\lambda) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $H_m(\lambda)$  given in Lemma 3.

**Proof** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  be a fixed point. For  $f \in C_m^2(\mathbb{R}_0)$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}_0$  we can write

$$f(s) = f(x) + f'(x)(s-x) + \int_x^s (s-y) f''(y) dy.$$

Since  $L_\lambda$  is a linear positive operator and  $L_\lambda(1; x) = 1$  we get

$$L_\lambda(f(s); x) = f(x) + f'(x) L_\lambda((s-x); x) + L_\lambda\left(\int_x^s (s-y) f''(y) dy; x\right). \quad (8)$$

The estimation

$$\left| \int_x^s (s-y) f''(y) dy \right| \leq \|f''\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} (2 + s^m + x^m) (s-x)^2$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+x^m} L_\lambda\left(\left| \int_x^s (s-y) f''(y) dy \right|; x\right) &\leq \|f''\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left\{ L_\lambda((s-x)^2; x) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{1+x^m} L_\lambda((1+x^m)(s-x)^2; x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

From (2), Lemma 3 and (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)|}{1+x^m} &\leq \|f'\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} |L_\lambda((s-x); x)| + \\ &+ \|f''\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+x^m} L_\lambda((s-x)^2(1+s^m); x) + L_\lambda((s-x)^2; x) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \|f'\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right| x + \|f''\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left\{ H_m(\lambda)(x^2 + x + 1) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| x^2 + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} x \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} &\leq \|f'\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \|f''\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \{ H_m(\lambda) + \\ &+ \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give an estimation for the rate of convergence in weighted space. In process we use following weighted space  $C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$  defined

$$C_K(\mathbb{R}_0) = \left\{ f \in C_m(\mathbb{R}_0) : \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x^m} = K_f \right\}$$

where  $K_f$  is a constant depending on  $f$ .

It is known that usual first modulus of continuity  $\omega(f; \delta)$  does not tends to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  on the infinite interval. Now we give weighted modulus of continuity  $\Omega_m(f; \delta)$  and  $\Omega_m^2(f; \delta)$  which tens to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  on the infinite interval.

Let

$$\Omega_m(f; \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta, x \in [0, b_\lambda]} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{(1+x^m)(1+h^m)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\Omega_m^2(f; \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta, x \in [0, b_\lambda]} \frac{|f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x)|}{(1+x^m)(1+h^m)}, \quad (10)$$

where  $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$ .

For a lfrge  $x$  we have the estimate

$$\frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{(1+x^m)(1+h^m)} \leq 2^m \left| \frac{f(x+h)}{1+(x+h)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x)}{1+x^m} - K_f \right| + \frac{2^m}{x} K_f.$$

Therefor, by the definition of  $C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$  for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $x_0 = x_0(\varepsilon)$  such that the inequalities

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h)}{1+(x+h)^m} - K_f \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m \cdot 3}, \quad \left| \frac{f(x)}{1+x^m} - K_f \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{x} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m \cdot K_f \cdot 3}$$

holds for  $x > x_0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_m(f; \delta) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq x \leq x_0, |h| \leq \delta} |f(x+h) - f(x)| + \sup_{x_0 \leq x \leq b_\lambda, |h| \leq \delta} \left| \frac{f(x+h)}{1+(x+h)^m} - K_f \right| \cdot 2^m + \\ &+ \sup_{x_0 \leq x \leq b_\lambda} \left| \frac{f(x)}{1+x^m} - K_f \right| + \sup_{x_0 \leq x \leq b_\lambda, |h| \leq \delta} \frac{2^m}{x} K_f \leq \omega(f; \delta) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Omega_m(f; \delta) < \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Omega_m(f; \delta) = 0$  for  $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq C_m (1 + [H_m(\lambda)]^m) \times \\ &\times (\Omega_m(f; K_m(\lambda)) + \Omega_m^2(f; K_m(\lambda))) + \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_m$  is a constant.

**Proof.** Using the Stiecklov means for  $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R}_0)$  given by

$$f_h(x) = \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] dsdt,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f_h(x) - f(x)|}{1+x^m} &\leq \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{|2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t)) - f(x)|}{(1+x^m)} dsdt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{|f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))| (1+(s+t)^m)}{(1+x^m)(1+(s+t)^m)} dsdt + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{|f(x+s+t) - f(x)| (1+(s+t)^m)}{(1+x^m)(1+(s+t)^m)} ds dt \leq \\
 & \leq \{\Omega_m(f; h) + \Omega_m(f; h)\} (1+h^m) = 2\Omega_m(f; h) (1+h^m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|fh - f\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq 2\Omega_m(f; h) (1+h^m). \quad (11)$$

Also, we have similarly in (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'_h(x) &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} 8 \left\{ f\left(s+x+\frac{h}{2}\right) - f(s+x) \right\} - 2 \{f(2s+x+h) - f(2s+h)\} ds. \\
 \frac{f'_h(x)}{(1+x^m)} &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{8 \{f(s+x+\frac{h}{2}) - f(s+x)\} - 2 \{f(2s+x+h) - f(2s+h)\}}{(1+x^m)} ds = \\
 &= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{8 \{f(s+x+\frac{h}{2}) - f(s+x)\} (1+(x+s)^m) \left(1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m\right)}{(1+x^m)(1+(x+s)^m) \left(1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m\right)} ds + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} (1+(x+2s)^m) (1+h^m) \frac{2 \{f(2s+x+h) - f(2s+h)\}}{(1+x^m)(1+(x+2s)^m)(1+h^m)} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using following inequalities for  $x \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$

$$\frac{(1+(x+s)^m)}{(1+x^m)} \leq 2^{m-1} (1+s^m),$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{f'_h(x)}{(1+x^m)} &\leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{h/2} 2^{m-1} (1+s^m) \left(1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m\right) \frac{8 \{f(s+x+\frac{h}{2}) - f(s+x)\}}{(1+(x+s)^m) \left(1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m\right)} ds + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^{h/2} 2^{m-1} (1+s^m) (1+h^m) \frac{2 \{f(2s+x+h) - f(2s+h)\}}{(1+(x+2s)^m)(1+h^m)} ds \leq \\
 &\leq 8 \frac{2^{m-1}}{h^2} \left(1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m\right) \int_0^{h/2} (1+s^m) \Omega_m\left(f; \frac{h}{2}\right) ds + \\
 &+ 2 \frac{2^m}{h^2} (1+h^m) \int_0^{h/2} (1+s^m) \Omega_m(f; h) ds \leq 10 \frac{2^m}{h} (1+h^m) \Omega_m(f; h).
 \end{aligned}$$



Consequently

$$\left\| f'_h(x) \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq \frac{2^m}{h} (1 + h^m) \Omega_m(f; h). \quad (12)$$

Moreover we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left| f''_h(x) \right|}{(1+x^m)} &= h^{-2} \frac{8 \{ f(x+h) - 2f(\frac{h}{2}+x) - f(x) \} \left( 1 + \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m \right)}{(1+x^m) \left( 1 + \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^m \right)} + \\ &+ h^{-2} \frac{\{ f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x) \} (1+h^m)}{(1+x^m)(1+h^m)} \leq h^{-2} 9 (1+h^m) \Omega_m^2(f; h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left\| f''_h(x) \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq h^{-2} 9 (1+h^m) \Omega_m^2(f; h). \quad (13)$$

From (1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} &\leq \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f-f_h; x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \\ &+ \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f_h; x) - f_h(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} + \left\| \frac{f_h(x) - f(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By (11) we get

$$\left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f-f_h; x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq \|f_h - f\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \leq 2\Omega_m(f; h) (1+h^m). \quad (15)$$

Also using Theorem 1, (13), (14) and (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{L_\lambda(f_h; x) - f_h(x)}{2+x+x^2} \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} &\leq \left\| f'_h \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left\| f''_h \right\|_{m, [0, b_\lambda]} \{ H_m(\lambda) + \\ &+ \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} \} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2^m}{h} (1+h^m) \Omega_m(f; h) \left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right| x + h^{-2} 9 (1+h^m) \Omega_m^2(f; h) \{ H_m(\lambda) + \\ &+ \left| \left( \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} + 1 \right| + \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \psi_\lambda(0)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $h = K_m(\lambda) = \max \left\{ \sqrt{H_m(\lambda)}, \sqrt{\left| \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\lambda} - 1 \right|} \right\}$  we obtain claimed result.

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank Professor A. D. Gadjiev for his valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1]. Agratini O. *On approximation properties of Balázs-Szabados operators and their Kantorovich extension*. Korean J. Comput. and Appl. Math., v.9, (2002), No 2, pp. 361-372
- [2] Altomare F., Campiti M. *Korovkin-Type Approximation Theory and Its Applications*. 1994, New York.
- [3]. Dogru O. *On a certain family of linear positive operators*. Tr. J. of Math., v. 21, (1997), pp.337-389.
- [4]. Gadjeiev A. D., Atakut C. *On approximation of unbounded functions by the generalized Baskakov operators*. Trans. Acad. Sci. Azerb., XXII, No:1, (2003), pp.33-42.
- [5]. Gadjeiev A. D., Ispir N. *On a sequence of linear positive operators in weighted space*. Proc. of Imm of Azerb. As., v. XI (XIX), (1999), pp. 45-56.
- [6]. Gadziev A. D. *The convergence problem for a sequence of positive linear operators on bounded sets and theorems analogous to that of P. P. Korovkin*. Soviet Math. Dokl., v.15, No:5, (1974)
- [7]. Gadziev A. D. *On P. P. Korovkin type theorems*. Math. Zamet., 20 (1976) pp. 781-786. (in Russian)
- [8] Ibragimov I.I., Gadziev A. D. *On a sequence of linear positive operators*, Soviet Math. Dokl., v.11, (1970) No:4, pp. 1092-1095.
- [9]. Radatz P. and Wood B. *Approximation derivatives of functions unbounded on the positive axis with linear operators*. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. Bucarest, v. XXIII, No. 5 (1978), pp. 771-781.
- [10]. Lesniewicz M. and Rempulska L. *Approximation by some operators of the Szász-Mirakyan type in exponential weighted space*. Glasnik Math. v. 32 (52) (1997), pp. 57-69.
- [11]. Becker M. *Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakyan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weighted space*. Indiana Univ. Math. Math. J. v. 27 (1) (1978), pp. 127-142.
- [12]. Pogány Tibor K. *Some Korovkin-type theorems for stochastic processes*. Teor. Imovi. Mat. Stat. No. 61 (1999), 145–151; translation in Theory Probab. Math. Statist. No. 61 (2000), pp. 153–159 (2001)

#### Ali Aral

Krikale University. Faculty of Sciences and Art.  
Krikale. Turkey.

Received March 28, 2003; Revised June 31, 2003.

Translated by the author.